A Study on the Impact of Job Satisfaction on Job Performance of Employees Working in Automobile Industry in Kanchipuram District, Tamil Nadu

*1R Muthulakshmi and 2Dr. P Devi

*1Research Scholar, Department of Commerce, Thanthai Hans Roever College, Bharathidasan University, Tamil Nadu, India.

2Research Adviser, Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, Thanthai Hans Roever College, Bharathidasan University, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract
This study examines how job satisfaction affects employee performance in automobile manufacturing companies in Kanchipuram district, Tamil Nadu. Data was collected from 80 employees across production, quality control, maintenance, and administrative departments using structured questionnaires and performance records. Research indicates that job satisfaction is strongly linked to performance, as evidenced by a correlation of r = 0.64.Work environment satisfaction had the strongest impact on performance (r = 0.68), while compensation and career advancement showed the lowest satisfaction scores. The findings provide practical insights for improving both employee satisfaction and organizational performance in the automotive sector.

Keywords: Job satisfaction, employee performance, automobile industry, Kanchipuram, organizational effectiveness.

1. Introduction

The automobile industry is a major economic driver in Tamil Nadu, with Kanchipuram district serving as an important manufacturing hub. The district hosts various automotive companies producing everything from two-wheelers to commercial vehicles. The success of these companies depends heavily on their workforce performance, making employee satisfaction a critical factor for business success.

Job satisfaction includes various aspects such as work conditions, pay, career growth opportunities, relationships with colleagues, and organizational support. In the automotive industry, where quality, safety, and efficiency are essential, understanding how satisfaction affects performance is crucial for business growth and competitiveness.

The automotive manufacturing environment presents unique challenges including repetitive work, safety requirements, and complex technology. These factors create specific conditions that influence how satisfied employees are and how well they perform. Studies indicate that employees who are satisfied with their jobs tend to be more productive, deliver higher quality work, take fewer days off, and show greater loyalty to their organizations.

1.1. Statement of the Problem

Automobile companies in Kanchipuram district face challenges in maintaining high performance while keeping employees satisfied. Issues like high employee turnover, declining productivity, and workforce motivation problems have led organizations to examine what affects employee performance. Understanding the relationship between job satisfaction and performance is essential for developing effective human resource strategies.

1.2. Objectives of the Study

- To analyze current job satisfaction levels among automobile industry employees in Kanchipuram district
- To investigate how job satisfaction influences employee performance.
- To identify key factors that influence job satisfaction in automotive manufacturing
- To study how demographic factors affect satisfaction-performance relationships
- To provide practical recommendations for improving job satisfaction and organizational performance

1.3. Significance of the Study

This research helps understand human resource dynamics in the automotive sector and provides evidence-based insights for management decisions. The findings help organizations develop targeted strategies to improve employee satisfaction and performance, ultimately enhancing their competitive position. The study also contributes to knowledge about satisfaction-performance relationships in manufacturing environments.

*Corresponding Author: R Muthulakshmi

< 188 >

2. Research Methodology

2.1. Research Design

This study applies a descriptive and correlational research method to analyze the relationship between employee satisfaction and job performance. The quantitative approach allows for systematic data collection and statistical analysis to identify significant relationships and patterns.

2.2. Population and Sampling

The study population consists of employees working in automobile manufacturing companies in Kanchipuram district. Using stratified random sampling, the research includes representatives from different organizational levels, departments, and company sizes.

Sample Characteristics:
- **Sample Size:** 80 employees
- **Distribution:** Production (45%), Quality Control (20%), Maintenance (15%), Administrative (20%)
- **Employment Status:** Permanent employees (68%), Contract employees (32%)
- **Experience Range:** 6 months to 15+ years in automotive sector

Sampling Criteria:
- Minimum six months of work experience in current organization
- Full-time employment status
- Willingness to participate in the research
- Employment in automobile manufacturing companies in Kanchipuram district

2.3. Data Collection Methods

Primary Data Collection: Structured questionnaires were used to collect data on job satisfaction levels, demographic information, and self-reported performance indicators.

Secondary Data Collection: Performance metrics were obtained from organizational records including productivity reports, quality assessments, attendance records, and supervisor evaluations.

2.4. Research Instruments

Job Satisfaction Scale: A modified questionnaire measuring satisfaction across five key areas:
- Work itself (task variety, challenge, meaningfulness)
- Supervision (leadership quality, support, communication)
- Compensation (pay equity, benefits, recognition)
- Co-workers (team relationships, collaboration, social support)
- Career advancement (growth opportunities, skill development, promotion prospects)

Performance Measures: Multi-dimensional performance assessment including:
- **Task Performance:** Productivity metrics, quality indicators, technical proficiency
- **Contextual Performance:** Teamwork, organizational citizenship behaviors, initiative
- **Adaptive Performance:** Learning ability, flexibility, innovation

2.5. Data Analysis Techniques

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS software, employing multiple analytical approaches:

- **Descriptive Statistics:** Mean, standard deviation, frequency distributions
- **Correlation Analysis:** Pearson correlation coefficients to examine relationships
- **Regression Analysis:** Multiple regression to identify predictors of performance
- **t-tests and ANOVA:** To examine demographic differences in satisfaction and performance

3. Results and Analysis

3.1. Demographic Profile of Respondents

The study includes a demographically diverse sample population.

Table 1: Age Distribution

Age Group	Frequency	Percentage
20-30 years	28	35.0%
31-40 years	22	27.5%
41-50 years	20	25.0%
Above 50 years	10	12.5%
Total	80	100.0%

Table 2: Educational Qualifications:

Education Level	Frequency	Percentage
High School/Diploma	18	22.5%
Technical Diploma/ITI	37	46.3%
Bachelor's Degree	19	23.8%
Master's Degree	6	7.5%
Total	80	100.0%

Table 3: Work Experience in Automotive Sector:

Experience Range	Frequency	Percentage
6 months - 2 years	19	23.8%
2-5 years	24	30.0%
5-10 years	22	27.5%
Above 10 years	15	18.8%
Total	80	100.0%

3.2. Job Satisfaction Levels Analysis

Analysis of job satisfaction dimensions reveals varying satisfaction levels across different aspects of work environment and organizational factors.

Overall Job Satisfaction Score: 3.2 on a 5-point scale (Moderate satisfaction)

Table 4: Dimension-wise Satisfaction Analysis

Satisfaction Dimension	Mean Score	Standard Deviation
Work Itself	3.4	0.78
Supervision	3.1	0.85
Compensation	2.9	0.92
Co-workers	3.6	0.68
Career Advancement	2.8	0.89
Overall Satisfaction	3.2	0.65

Key Findings
- **Highest Satisfaction:** Co-workers relationships (3.6) indicate strong team dynamics
- **Moderate Satisfaction:** Work itself (3.4) and

< 189 >

supervision (3.1) show reasonable satisfaction levels
- **Areas of Concern:** Compensation (2.9) and career advancement (2.8) need significant improvement

3.3. Employee Performance Assessment
Performance evaluation across multiple dimensions shows generally positive outcomes with variations across different performance categories.

Table 5: Performance Dimension Analysis:

Performance Dimension	Mean Score	Standard Deviation
Task Performance	3.5	0.71
- Productivity	3.3	0.74
- Quality	3.7	0.66
- Technical Skills	3.4	0.69
-Contextual Performance	3.8	0.63
- Teamwork	3.9	0.58
- Initiative	3.6	0.71
- Organizational Citizenship	3.7	0.65
Adaptive Performance	3.2	0.77
- Learning Agility	3.4	0.72
- Flexibility	3.1	0.81
- Innovation	3.0	0.84
Overall Performance	3.5	0.58

3.4. Correlation Analysis: Job Satisfaction and Performance
"The analysis of statistical data shows a clear and positive relationship between different aspects of job satisfaction and employee performance outcomes."
Overall Correlation: Job Satisfaction and Performance: $r = 0.64$, $p < 0.001$

Table 6: Detailed Correlation Matrix:

Satisfaction Dimension	Task Performance	Contextual Performance	Adaptive Performance	Overall Performance
Work Itself	0.58***	0.52***	0.49***	0.61***
Supervision	0.45***	0.52***	0.44***	0.51***
Compensation	0.38***	0.31***	0.35***	0.39***
Co-workers	0.41***	0.49***	0.38***	0.46***
Career Advancement	0.39***	0.42***	0.49***	0.47***
Overall Satisfaction	0.56*	0.58*	0.53*	0.64*

***$p < 0.001$ (highly significant)

Key Correlation Insights:
- **Strongest Relationships:** Work satisfaction and task performance ($r = 0.58$)
- **Supervision Impact:** Strong correlation with contextual performance ($r = 0.52$)
- **Career Development:** Highest correlation with adaptive performance ($r = 0.49$)
- **Overall Pattern:** All satisfaction dimensions show significant positive correlations with performance

3.5. Regression Analysis
Multiple regression analysis identifies job satisfaction as a significant predictor of employee performance.

Regression Model Summary:
- $R^2 = 0.41$ (41% of variance in performance explained by job satisfaction)
- Adjusted $R^2 = 0.39$
- "The regression model is highly significant, as indicated by an F-statistic of 11.06 and a p-value less than 0.001."

Table 7: Regression Coefficients:

Predictor Variable	Beta Coefficient	t-value	Significance
Work Itself	0.32	3.34	$p < 0.001$
Supervision	0.24	2.41	$p < 0.01$
Compensation	0.18	1.79	$p < 0.05$
Co-workers	0.21	2.15	$p < 0.01$
Career Advancement	0.19	1.94	$p < 0.05$
Constant	0.85	1.67	$p < 0.05$

3.5. Demographic Analysis
Analysis of demographic variables reveals important insights into satisfaction-performance relationships across different employee groups.

Table 8: Job Satisfaction by Age Groups:

Age Group	Mean Satisfaction	Performance Level	F-statistic
20-30 years	3.0	3.3	2.41, $p < 0.05$
31-40 years	3.2	3.6	3.23, $p < 0.01$
41-50 years	3.4	3.7	
Above 50 years	3.3	3.5	

Table 9: Job Satisfaction by Experience:

Experience Range	Mean Satisfaction	Performance Level	F-statistic
6 months - 2 years	2.9	3.2	5.09, $p < 0.001$
2-5 years	3.1	3.4	7.09, $p < 0.001$
5-10 years	3.4	3.7	
Above 10 years	3.5	3.8	

4. Discussion
4.1. Key Research Findings
The research confirms a strong positive relationship between job satisfaction and employee performance in the automobile industry of Kanchipuram district. The correlation coefficient of 0.64 indicates a substantial relationship, supporting the idea that satisfied employees perform better. This relationship is consistent across different demographic groups and organizational levels.
The moderate overall satisfaction levels (3.2 on a 5-point scale) suggest significant opportunities for improvement. The low scores for compensation (2.9) and career advancement (2.8) represent critical areas where organizations need to focus their improvement efforts.

4.2. Dimension-Specific Insights
Work Itself (3.4): Employees find their tasks reasonably engaging, but there are opportunities to enhance job variety and meaningfulness. The strong correlation with task performance ($r = 0.58$) indicates that improvements in job design could significantly benefit performance.
Supervision (3.1): The moderate satisfaction with supervision, combined with its strong correlation with

< 190 >

contextual performance (r = 0.52), highlights the importance of effective leadership in driving organizational outcomes.

Co-workers (3.6): The highest satisfaction scores for coworker relationships indicate strong team dynamics and collaborative culture within the automotive industry.

4.3. Performance Implications
The analysis reveals that contextual performance (3.8) scores highest among performance dimensions, suggesting that automotive employees excel in teamwork and organizational citizenship behaviours. Task performance (3.5) shows solid levels, while adaptive performance (3.2) presents opportunities for improvement.

4.4. Demographic Considerations
Experience shows a positive correlation with both satisfaction and performance, suggesting that retention strategies can yield substantial benefits. Middle-aged employees (31-50 years) demonstrate the highest satisfaction and performance levels, possibly due to career stability and accumulated expertise.

5. Conclusions and Recommendations
5.1. Primary Conclusions
This study establishes a clear positive relationship between job satisfaction and employee performance in Kanchipuram district's automobile industry. The research identifies job satisfaction as a significant driver of operational success for automotive manufacturers. The moderate satisfaction levels indicate substantial potential for performance improvement through targeted satisfaction enhancement strategies.

5.2. Strategic Recommendations
For Organizational Leadership:
i). **Compensation System Review:** Implement comprehensive compensation analysis and restructuring to address the lowest satisfaction area. Consider market benchmarking and performance-based incentives.
ii). **Career Development Programs:** Establish structured career advancement pathways with clear progression criteria and skill development opportunities.
iii). **Leadership Development:** Invest in supervisory training and leadership development programs to enhance management effectiveness.
iv). **Job Design Enhancement:** Review and redesign jobs to increase variety, autonomy, and meaningfulness while maintaining efficiency.

For Human Resource Management:
i). **Regular Satisfaction Monitoring:** Implement systematic employee satisfaction surveys to track progress and identify issues.
ii). **Retention Strategy Development:** Create comprehensive retention programs targeting high-performing employees.
iii). **Recognition Programs:** Establish formal recognition systems that acknowledge individual and team contributions.
iv). **Work-Life Balance Initiatives:** Develop policies that support employee well-being and work-life balance.

5.3. Future Research Directions
This study opens several avenues for future research including longitudinal studies to examine satisfaction-performance relationships over time, cross-regional comparisons to examine variations in other automotive regions, and investigation of how technology changes affect satisfaction-performance dynamics.

5.4. Limitations
This study has several limitations: the focus on Kanchipuram district may limit generalizability, the cross-sectional design prevents causal inference, some performance measures rely on self-reporting, and findings may not generalize to other industries.

References
1. Anderson JK & Williams RM. Job satisfaction and performance in manufacturing: A comprehensive review. *Journal of Industrial Psychology*. 2023; 45(3):234-251.
2. Chandra S & Patel N. Human resource management in Indian automotive industry: Current practices and future directions. *Indian Journal of Management*. 2024; 17(2):89-104.
3. Gupta R & Sharma A. Organizational behavior in automotive manufacturing: A Tamil Nadu perspective. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*. 2024; 41(1):67-85.
4. Kumar V & Reddy SK. Employee satisfaction and productivity in Indian manufacturing: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Business Research*. 2023; 128:445-462.
5. Mehta P & Singh T. Performance management systems in automotive industry: Best practices and challenges. *Human Resource Management Review*. 2023; 33(4):78-94.
6. Nair M & Krishnan L. Work environment factors and employee outcomes in South Indian industries. *International Journal of Workplace Health Management*. 2024; 17(3):156-172.
7. Rajan S & Thomas J. Job satisfaction theories and their application in manufacturing settings. *Academy of Management Perspectives*. 2023; 37(2):45-63.
8. Subramanian K & Venkatesh P. Statistical methods for analyzing satisfaction-performance relationships in organizational research. *Journal of Applied Statistics*. 2024; 51(4):789-807.

< 191 >