

# Impact of Macro-Economic Variables on Stock Market Capitalization and Volatility: Evidence from the Capital Market of Bangladesh

# <sup>1</sup>Md. Mehedi Hasan, <sup>\*2</sup>Dr. Md. Hasan Uddin and <sup>3</sup>Md. Alauddin

<sup>1,3</sup>MBA, Department of Finance and Banking, Patuakhali Science and Technology University, Dumki, Patuakhali, Bangladesh.

\*<sup>2</sup>Professor, Department of Finance and Banking, Patuakhali Science and Technology University, Dumki, Patuakhali, Bangladesh.

#### Abstract

This paper explores the factors affecting Stock Market Volatility (SMV) and Stock Market Capitalization (SMC) through Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression analysis from 2001 to 2022. The analysis indicates that trade volume and market return (MR) have a significant impact on SMV, while market capitalization, trade openness, and inflation do not show notable effects. In terms of SMC, trade openness and domestic credit to the private sector are identified as significant positive determinants. In contrast, gross fixed capital formation is found to have a negative relationship with SMC. These insights improve the understanding of stock market dynamics and could inform policy measures aimed at enhancing market stability and growth.

Keywords: Stock market volatilities, stock market capitalization, trade volume, market return, trade openness

#### 1. Introduction

A healthy capital market is vital for a country's financial system. Bangladesh's capital market, with the Dhaka Stock Exchange as the primary trading platform, has grown significantly in recent years (Akter & Rahman, 2023) <sup>[3]</sup>. Research indicates a positive correlation between capital market growth and GDP expansion (Beck, Lundberg, & Majnoni, 2006) <sup>[10]</sup>. However, this growth has not aligned with the real sector, raising concerns about market volatility. Changes in macroeconomic factors can lead to excessive volatility, increasing market risk and driving investors toward government securities and other risk-free assets (Khan & Billah, 2023) <sup>[47]</sup>. Understanding market volatility can mitigate risks for investors and positively impact the financial sector.

Numerous studies have examined macroeconomic factors affecting stock market capitalization and volatility (Alam, *et al.*, 2020; Ghimire, 2022; Awadzie & Garr, 2020; Gopinathan & Durai, 2019; Durğut & Arici, 2022; Muzaffar & Malik, 2024; Assagaf, *et al.*, 2019; Dayıoğlu & Aydin, 2019; Ali, *et al.*, 2020; Rathnayaka & Seneviratna, 2018; Shah, *et al.*, 2018; Anne & Samuel, 2024; Syakira, 2023; Matadeen, 2017; Damiran *et al.*, 2022; Nazir, *et al.*, 2010; Wang, 2010; Walid, *et al.*, 2011; Beetsma & Giuliodori, 2012; Abdul-Rahman, *et al.*, 2009) <sup>[4, 37, 9, 39, 58, 8, 28, 5, 70, 72, 75, 52, 27, 59, 78, 11, 65]. These studies confirm that industrial production, domestic savings, money supply, interest rates, inflation, GDP growth, financial openness, trade volume, stock return, domestic credit, and</sup>

exchange rates significantly influence stock market capitalization and volatility in developed countries. Some literature also focuses on South Asian countries, including Bangladesh (Alam, *et al.*, 2020; Rahman & Moazzem, 2011; Nisha, 2016; Hasan *et al.*, 2023; Hasan, 2019; Hossain, 2020; Khan & Billah, 2023; Matin, 2023; Jamil & Naima, 2019)<sup>[4, 67, 60, 41, 19, 42, 47, 54, 46]</sup>. However, other studies found no significant correlation between macroeconomic variables and stock market performance (Nusrat, *et al.*, 2024; Tania, *et al.*, 2022; Akter, *et al.*, 2020; Mostafa, 2020; Rahman, 2019)<sup>[61, 76, 45, 56, 66]</sup>.

Given these conflicting findings and the importance of the issue, this study aims to investigate the impact of macroeconomic variables on stock market capitalization and volatility in Bangladesh. There are four primary reasons for this focus:

- a) Economic Development Goals: Bangladesh, recently classified as a "Developing Country" by the UN, aims to become "Developed" by 2041. To fund major infrastructure projects, a stronger stock market is essential. Currently, the capital market accounts for only 14.5 percent of GDP significantly lower than in other emerging economies (CEIC Data, 2023);
- **b) Market Inefficiency:** The Bangladeshi stock market suffers from inefficiencies and a lack of expertise (Islam, *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[45]</sup>;
- c) Financial Sector Challenges: The financial sector faces issues such as weak banking soundness and difficulties in

capital raising through stock markets (Islam *et al.*, 2020)  $^{[45]}$  and

d) Historical Market Crashes: The stock market experienced severe crashes in 1996 and 2010 due to various factors, including market manipulation and poor regulatory practices (Choudhury, 2013) <sup>[25]</sup>. Following these crashes, investors have increasingly favored safer investment options. Studying the Bangladeshi stock market could provide valuable insights into how macroeconomic factors influence market capitalization and volatility, offering useful information for both domestic and foreign investors.

#### 2. Theoretical Background

Studies reveal that factors such as inflation, GDP growth rate, interest rates, and foreign direct investment significantly influence stock market volatility and capitalization (Nusrat, *et al.*, 2024; Mugendi, 2024; Phuong, *et al.*, 2023) <sup>[61, 57, 64]</sup>. Additionally, the efficiency of Bangladesh's capital market, characterized by high market capitalization and liquidity, can significantly contribute to the country's economic growth (Fakrul, *et al.*, 2024) <sup>[35]</sup>. Inflation affects stock market volatility by influencing investor behavior, monetary policies, and economic stability. High inflation increases uncertainty and market capitalization (Otieno, *et al.*, 2019; Liu and Zhang, 2015; Dahal, *et al.*, 2024; Apergis and Eleftheriou, 2002; Bekaert and Engstrom, 2010) <sup>[62, 50, 26, 7, 13]</sup>.

Trade openness influences stock market volatility and capitalization, with some arguing it heightens volatility due to global price sensitivity, while others believe it stabilizes

markets by reducing vulnerability to domestic shocks (Bejan, 2006; Cavallo and Frankel, 2008) <sup>[12, 20]</sup>. Financial openness can enhance capital inflows and corporate growth, but it may also result in capital flight and decreased profitability due to foreign competition (Levine and Zervos, 1998; Pástor and Stambaugh, 2003). The relationship between stock returns and volatility is complex and has yielded mixed results; however, higher market capitalization can improve stock returns by increasing liquidity (Theodossiou and Lee, 1995; Glosten, et al., 1993; Brasoveanu, et al., 2008). The relationship between GDP growth rate and stock market volatility is mixed. Higher GDP growth often correlates with larger stock markets, but the effect varies based on economic context (Schwert, 1989; Beltratti and Morana, 2006; Diebold and Yilmaz, 2008; Levine and Zervos, 1998; Cherif and Gazdar, 2010), [71, 14, 31, 49, 23].

Domestic savings and gross fixed capital formation (GFCF) affect stock market volatility and capitalization. Household savings reduce volatility, while corporate savings increase it. Higher domestic savings and GFCF boost stock market capitalization by providing investment funds and fostering economic growth (Caporale, *et al.*, 2015; Emara *et al.*, 2021; Aduda, Masila, and Onsongo, 2012; El-Wassal, 2005) <sup>[18, 34, 1]</sup>. Additionally, higher domestic credit to the private sector and broad money supply (M2) are linked to more active markets and increased stock market capitalization, although the impact varies by income level (Demirgüç *et al.*, 1996; Billmeier and Massa, 2009; Yartey, 2008) <sup>[29, 16, 80]</sup>. Based on the review of existing literature, the following conceptual framework is developed:



Fig 1: Conceptual Framework

Where,

SMV = Stock market volatility, which is usually calculated by taking highest value and lowest value estimate or by calculating the square of the standard deviation of the stock prices (Nazir *et al.*, 2010) <sup>[59]</sup>.

SMC = Stock market capitalization ratio is chosen as the dependent variable for stock market development (Yartey, 2008; Garcia *et al.*, 1999; Ben *et al.*, 2007)<sup>[80, 36, 15]</sup>.

GDPGR = Represents the GDP growth rate (Manali, *et al.*, 2024; Carp and L, 2012)<sup>[51, 19]</sup>.

FO = Financial openness as percentage of GDP (Yartey, 2008; Garcia *et al.*, 1999)<sup>[80, 36]</sup>

INF = Inflation (Moldir, *et al.*, 2024; Manali, *et al.*, 2024; Swati, *et al.*, 2023; Rashidatu, *et al.*, 2023) <sup>[55, 51, 74, 69]</sup>.

TO = Represents the trade openness, measured by the ratio (Exports+Imports) and GDP (Swati, *et al.*, 2023; El-Wassal, 2005) [<sup>74</sup>].

M2 = Represents the money supply M2 as percentage of GDP (Manali, *et al.*, 2024; Swati, *et al.*, 2023)<sup>[51, 74]</sup>.

DCTPS = Banking development is captured through domestic credit to private sector expressed as a percentage of GDP (Yartey, 2008; Garcia, *et al.*, 1999; Ben, *et al.*, 2007 and Cherif, *et al.*, 2010) <sup>[80, 36, 15, 23]</sup>.

MR = Market return (Cherif, et al., 2010)<sup>[23]</sup>.

GFCF = Gross fixed capital formation as percentage of GDP (Swati, *et al.*, 2023; Matadeen and S, 2017)<sup>[74, 52]</sup>.

TV= Represents the total number of tradable securities as percentage of GDP (Garcia, *et al.*, 1999; Billmeier and Massa, 2009) <sup>[36, 16]</sup>.

**Hypothesis of the Study:** As per the outcome of related literature, following alternative hypotheses are developed:

H1: Macro-economic determinants significantly affect stock market volatility.

H<sub>2</sub>: Macro-economic determinants significantly affect stock market development.

#### 3. Methods

This study utilized secondary data from 2001 to 2022, derived from the annual reports of the Dhaka Stock Exchange (DSE), the Bangladesh Securities and Exchange Commission (BSEC), the Bangladesh Bank, and the World Bank database. The researcher conducted an extensive review of relevant literature, both domestic and international, pertaining to the current study. Consistent with prior research (Ranjith, *et al.*, 2023; Lala, *et al.*, 2023; Dhingra and Kapil, 2021; Swati, *et al.*, 2023; and Moldir, *et al.*, 2024) <sup>[68, 48, 30, 74, 55]</sup>, a multiple regression model was employed to investigate the influence of macroeconomic factors on stock market capitalization and volatility. The analysis utilized a multivariate ordinary least squares (OLS) regression technique, specified as follows:

Model 1: SMV<sub>t</sub> =  $\alpha$ +  $\beta_1$ (SMC<sub>t</sub>) + $\beta_2$ (TO<sub>t</sub>) + $\beta_3$ (TV<sub>t</sub>)+  $\beta_4$ GDPGR<sub>t</sub>) +  $\beta_5$ (INF<sub>t</sub>) +  $\beta_6$ (FO<sub>t</sub>) +  $\beta_7$ (DCTPS<sub>t</sub>)+  $\beta_8$ (GFCF<sub>t</sub>)+  $\beta_9$ (MR<sub>t</sub>)+  $\beta_{10}$ (GDS<sub>t</sub>) + $\epsilon_t$ 

Where:  $\alpha$  = the constant term,  $\beta$  = the slope or coefficient estimates of the explanatory variables,  $\varepsilon_t$  = the standard error and the other variables are described in an earlier section of the study.

### 4. Results and Discussion

The descriptive statistics (Table 1) reveal key economic and financial indicators. Stock Market Volatility (SMV) has a mean of 0.070 and a standard deviation of 0.124, indicating low but variable volatility. Stock Market Capitalization (SMC) averages 14.241 with a standard deviation of 8.941, showing significant variation. GDP Growth Rate (GDPGR) is stable at 0.060 with a low deviation of 0.011. Inflation (INF) averages 6.526 with a deviation of 1.960. Trade Volume (TV) has moderate variability with a mean of 0.201. Market Return (MR) is highly variable with a mean of 14.507. Other indicators, such as Domestic Credit (DCTPS), Gross Fixed Capital Formation (GFCF), Trade Openness (TO), Money

Supply (M2), Gross Domestic Savings (GDS), and Foreign Ownership (FO), show consistent or moderate variability.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics Result

| Variables | Mean   | Std. deviation |
|-----------|--------|----------------|
| SMV       | .070   | .124           |
| SMC       | 14.241 | 8.941          |
| GDPGR     | .060   | .011           |
| INF       | 6.526  | 1.960          |
| TV        | .201   | .144           |
| MR        | 14.507 | 32.035         |
| DCTPS     | 36.268 | 6.337          |
| GFCF      | 28.003 | 2.704          |
| ТО        | 35.917 | 7.085          |
| M2        | 53.801 | 6.677          |
| GDS       | 22.715 | 2.740          |
| FO        | .826   | .449           |

Source: processed data (2024)

The correlation matrix (Table 2) reveals several significant relationships among economic and financial indicators. Stock Market Capitalization (MC) is strongly positively correlated with Money Supply (M2) and Domestic Credit to Private Sector (DCTPS). SMV shows a strong positive correlation with Gross Domestic Savings (GDS) and Gross Fixed Capital Formation (GFCF). M2 is highly correlated with DCTPS and Trade Volume (TV). Trade Openness (TO) is negatively correlated with SMV but positively with Foreign Ownership (FO). Inflation (INF) and FO show moderate positive correlations with various indicators. Market Return (MR) shows no strong correlations, while GDS is positively correlated with GFCF. These relationships highlight key interactions in the economic environment.

 Table 2: Correlation Matrix

|       | MC   | SMV  | M2   | то        | ΤV   | GDPGR | INF | FO       | DCTPS | GFCF | MR |
|-------|------|------|------|-----------|------|-------|-----|----------|-------|------|----|
| MC    | 1    |      |      |           |      |       |     |          |       |      |    |
| SMV   | 1    | 1    |      |           |      |       |     |          |       |      |    |
| M2    | .8** | .1   | 1    |           |      |       |     |          |       |      |    |
| TO    | .6** | 5**  | .6*  | 1         |      |       |     |          |       |      |    |
| TV    | .8** | .1   | .9** | .4*       | 1    |       |     |          |       |      |    |
| GDPGR | .2   | .03  | .3   | .2        | .3   | 1     |     |          |       |      |    |
| INF   | .5*  | 2    | .4   | .5*       | .2   | .3    | 1   |          |       |      |    |
| FO    | .6** | 4    | .6** | $.8^{**}$ | .5*  | .3    | .5* | 1        |       |      |    |
| DCTPS | .8** | .2   | .1** | .5*       | .9** | .4    | .3  | .5*      | 1     |      |    |
| GFCF  | .3   | .7** | .6** | 1         | .6** | .5*   | .01 | -<br>.01 | .7**  | 1    |    |
| MR    | .2   | 2    | 1    | 1         | 2    | 1     | .2  | .01      | 1     | 3    | 1  |
| GDS   | .05  | .8** | .2   | 5*        | .4   | .3    | 2   | 2        | .4    | .9** | 2  |

Source: processed data (2024)

Before performing the regression analysis, several assumptions were assessed, including linearity, normality, homoscedasticity, independence of errors, and absence of multicollinearity among predictors.

The Heteroskedasticity Test results (Table 3) show no significant evidence of heteroscedasticity in both models, indicating that the variance of the residuals is constant.

| Table 3: Heteroskedasticit | y Test: Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|

|         | <b>F-Statistic</b> | p-Value | Interpretation                             |  |  |  |
|---------|--------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Model 1 | 1.067              | 0.455   | No significant evidence of heteroscedacity |  |  |  |
| Model 2 | 0.693              | 0.704   | No significant evidence of heteroscedacity |  |  |  |
| Sec     |                    |         |  |  |  |  |

**Source:** processed data (2024)

The Autocorrelation Test results (Table 4) indicate no significant evidence of autocorrelation in both models, suggesting that the residuals are independent.

 Table 4: Auto correlation test: Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation

 LM Test

|         | F-statistic | p-value | Interpretation   |
|---------|-------------|---------|--|
| Model 1 | 1.950       | 0.192   | No significant evidence of autocorrelation in the regression |
| Model 2 | 0.280       | 0.762   | No significant evidence of autocorrelation in the regression |

Source: processed data (2024)

The Multicollinearity Test results (Table 5) show that most variables have acceptable tolerance levels and VIFs, though some variables (e.g., DCTPS, GFCF) exhibit high VIF values, indicating potential multicollinearity. Despite this, the overall assumption of no severe multicollinearity is reasonably met. In summary, all necessary assumptions for conducting reliable OLS regression analysis have been satisfied, supporting the robustness and reliability of the regression results.

Table 5: Multicollinearity Test Results

|           | Mode         | 1          | Model 2                        |        |  |
|-----------|--------------|------------|--------------------------------|--------|--|
| Variables | Collinearity | Statistics | <b>Collinearity Statistics</b> |        |  |
|           | Tolerance    | VIF        | Tolerance                      | VIF    |  |
| MC        | 0.097        | 10.306     | -                              | -      |  |
| TO        | 0.080        | 11.563     | 0.105                          | 9.520  |  |
| TV        | 0.091        | 10.953     | 0.103                          | 9.665  |  |
| GDPGR     | 0.364        | 2.747      | 0.376                          | 2.657  |  |
| INF       | 0.495        | 2.020      | 0.520                          | 1.925  |  |
| FO        | 0.312        | 3.203      | 0.251                          | 3.990  |  |
| DCTPS     | 0.020        | 28.722     | 0.012                          | 13.750 |  |
| GFCF      | 0.027        | 23.509     | 0.059                          | 17.073 |  |
| MR        | 0.376        | 2.660      | 0.385                          | 2.598  |  |
| GDS       | 0.0521       | 17.721     | -                              | -      |  |
| M2        | -            | -          | .024                           | 21.927 |  |

Source: processed data (2024)

The OLS regression model presented in Table 6 evaluates the impact of various factors on stock market volatility. The analysis identifies Trade Volume and Market Return as significant predictors, corroborating the findings of prior studies such as Chan and Fong (2000) <sup>[22]</sup>, Hugida (2011) <sup>[43]</sup>,

Damiran, *et al.* (2022) <sup>[27]</sup>, and Sutrisno, (2020) <sup>[73]</sup>. In contrast, variables like Stock Market Capitalization and Trade Openness do not show significant effects. The model explains 75.2% of the variance in SMV, as indicated by the R<sup>2</sup> value of 0.752, and has an adjusted R<sup>2</sup> of 0.527, suggesting moderate explanatory power. The overall significance of the model is confirmed by the F-statistic, and the Durbin-Watson statistic of 1.626 suggests the absence of severe autocorrelation issues. In summary, the regression results partially support hypothesis 1, highlighting the critical role of Trade Volume and Market Return in influencing stock market volatility, while other factors such as Stock Market Capitalization and Trade Openness appear less significant.

Table 6: OLS regression result of Model 1

| $ \begin{aligned} & \text{Model 1: } SMV_t = \alpha + \beta_1(SMC_t) + \beta_2(TO_t) + \beta_3(TV_t) + \beta_4GDPGR_t) + \\ & \beta_5(INF_t) + \beta_6(FO_t) + \beta_7(DCTPS_t) + \beta_8(GFCF_t) + \beta_9(MR_t) + \\ & \beta_{10}(GDS_t) + \epsilon_t \end{aligned} $ |                                    |       |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|------------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Coef. Std. Error t-Statistic Prob. |       |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Constant)  | 0.959                              | 0.559 | 1.715  | 0.114 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SMC   | 0.001                              | 0.007 | 0.147  | 0.456 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ТО  | 0.005                              | 0.000 | 0.028  | 0.356 |  |  |  |  |  |
| TV  | 1.166                              | 0.427 | 1.388  | 0.041 |  |  |  |  |  |
| GDPGR   | 4.441                              | 2.729 | 1.628  | 0.132 |  |  |  |  |  |
| INF   | 0.005                              | 0.013 | 0.341  | 0.267 |  |  |  |  |  |
| FO  | 0.033                              | 0.074 | 0.452  | 0.550 |  |  |  |  |  |
| DCTPS   | -0.001                             | 0.021 | -0.070 | 0.527 |  |  |  |  |  |
| GFCF  | 0.049                              | 0.042 | 1.152  | 0.274 |  |  |  |  |  |
| MR  | 2.756                              | 0.001 | 2.029  | 0.025 |  |  |  |  |  |
| GDS   | 0.002                              | 0.030 | 0.066  | 0.289 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R <sup>2</sup>  | 0.752                              |       |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adj. R <sup>2</sup>   | 0.527                              |       |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| F   | 3.341                              |       |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prob. of F  | 0.030                              |       |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Durbin-Watson stat.   | 1.626                              |       |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: processed data (2024)

In Table 7, Model 2 examines the determinants of Stock Market Capitalization. The results identify Trade Openness and Domestic Credit to the Private Sector as significant positive factors, consistent with previous research (Yartey, 2008; Billmeier and Massa, 2009; Chiad, 2022) <sup>[80, 16, 24]</sup>. Conversely, Gross Fixed Capital Formation shows a significant negative relationship with SMC, aligning with Matadeen's (2017) <sup>[52]</sup> findings. The model exhibits strong explanatory power, with an adjusted R<sup>2</sup> of 0.877, indicating that 87.7% of the variance in SMC is explained by the model. The F-statistic's p-value of 0.000 highlights the model's overall significance, and the Durbin-Watson statistic of 2.362 suggests no severe autocorrelation issues. Other variables in the model are found to be insignificant. Overall, the regression results partially support hypothesis 2.

|                      | Coef. Std. Error t-Statistic Prob. |        |        |       |  |  |  |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|--|
| (Constant)           | 36.768                             | 25.418 | 1.840  | 0.091 |  |  |  |
| M2                   | 1.521                              | 0.664  | 2.292  | 0.041 |  |  |  |
| ТО                   | 2.217                              | 0.298  | 2.728  | 0.048 |  |  |  |
| TV                   | 2.276                              | 14.745 | 0.154  | 0.380 |  |  |  |
| GDPGR                | 59.719                             | 98.686 | 0.605  | 0.556 |  |  |  |
| INF                  | 0.066                              | 0.484  | 0.136  | 0.494 |  |  |  |
| FO                   | 1.083                              | 3.038  | 0.356  | 0.328 |  |  |  |
| DCTPS                | 3.595                              | 0.988  | 3.638  | 0.003 |  |  |  |
| GFCF                 | -2.820                             | 1.046  | -2.697 | 0.019 |  |  |  |
| MR                   | 0.020                              | 0.034  | 0.586  | 0.569 |  |  |  |
| R <sup>2</sup>       | 0.930                              |        |        |       |  |  |  |
| Adj. R <sup>2</sup>  | 0.877                              |        |        |       |  |  |  |
| F                    | 12.635                             |        |        |       |  |  |  |
| Prob. of F           | 0.000                              |        |        |       |  |  |  |
| Durbin- Watson stat. | 2.362                              |        |        |       |  |  |  |

**Table 7:** OLS regression result of Model 2

Source: processed data (2024)

The findings indicate that Trade Openness and Domestic Credit to the Private Sector are positively significant contributors to SMC, underscoring the importance of open markets and credit availability in stock market growth. In contrast, Gross Fixed Capital Formation exhibits a significant negative relationship with SMC, suggesting that higher physical investments might detract from stock market development.

# 5. Conclusion

This study investigated the impact of macroeconomic variables on stock market capitalization and volatility in the Bangladesh capital market. The analysis employed OLS regression to assess the influence of factors such as GDP growth, inflation, trade openness, money supply, domestic credit, and others on stock market indicators. The findings indicate that Trade Volume and Market Return are significant positive predictors of stock market volatility. At the same time, variables like Stock Market Capitalization and Trade Openness do not show significant effects. Regarding stock market capitalization, the results highlight Trade Openness and Domestic Credit to the Private Sector as significant positive factors, while Gross Fixed Capital Formation exhibits a significant negative relationship. The implications of these findings are relevant for policymakers and market participants. The significant influence of trade volume and market return on stock market volatility suggests that regulators should closely monitor trading activity and investor sentiment to mitigate excessive market fluctuations. The positive role of trade openness and domestic credit in stock market capitalization underscores the importance of fostering an open and well-functioning financial system to promote stock market development.

# References

1. Aduda J, Masila JM and Onsongo EN. The determinants of stock market development: The case for the Nairobi Stock Exchange. *International journal of humanities and social science*. 2012; 2(9):214-230.

- 2. Akter R & Rahman MM. Capital Market and its Prospects and Problems in Bangladesh. *Sch J Econ Bus Manag.* 2023; 3:39-45.
- 3. Akter S, Rana MS & Anik TH. The dynamic relationship between stock market returns and macroeconomic variables: an empirical study from Bangladesh. *Journal* of Management, Economics, and Industrial Organization. 2020; 4(1):40-62.
- 4. Alam I, Mohsin M, Latif K & Zia-ur-Rehman M. The Impact of Macroeconomic Factors on Stock Market: An Evidence from China and Pakistan. *NICE Research Journal*, 2020, 1-26.
- 5. Ali RA & Irfan M. The impact of corporate governance, fundamental and macroeconomic factors on stock prices: an evidence from sugar and allied industry of Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Social Sciences*. 2020; 40(3):1329-1341.
- 6. Alnaa SE & Matey J. Financial Deepening Effects of Macroeconomics in Ghana. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Multidisciplinary Studies*, 2024.
- Apergis N and Eleftheriou S. Interest rates, inflation, and stock prices: the case of the Athens Stock Exchange. *Journal of policy Modeling*. 2002; 24(3):231-236. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0161-8938(02)00105-9
- Assagaf A, Murwaningsari E, Gunawan J & Mayangsari S. The effect of Macro Economic variables on stock return of companies that listed in stock exchange: Empirical evidence from Indonesia. *International Journal of Business and Management*. 2019; 14(8):108-116.
- Awadzie DM & Garr DK. The Effect of Macroeconomic Variables on Capital Market Performance: A Case of Ghana Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Business Management and Economic Review.* 2020; 3(5):44-54.
- 10. Beck T, Lundberg M & Majnoni G. Financial intermediary development and growth volatility: do intermediaries dampen or magnify shocks?. *Journal of International Money and Finance*. 2006; 25(7):1146-1167.
- 11. Beetsma R & Giuliodori M. The changing macroeconomic response to stock market volatility shocks. *Journal of Macroeconomics*. 2012; 34(2):281-293.
- 12. Bejan M. Trade openness and output volatility. *Available at SSRN 965824*, 2006.
- Bekaert G and Engstrom E. Inflation and the stock market: Understanding the "Fed Model". *Journal of Monetary Economics*. 2010; 57(3):278-294. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoneco.2010.02.004
- 14. Beltratti A and Morana C. Breaks and persistency: macroeconomic causes of stock market volatility. *Journal* of econometrics. 2006; 131(1-2):51-177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2005.01.007
- 15. Ben Naceur S, Ghazouani S and Omran M. The determinants of stock market development in the Middle-Eastern and North African region. *Managerial Finance*. 2007; 33(7):477-489. https://doi.org/10.1108/03074350710753753
- 16. Billmeier A and Massa I. What drives stock market development in emerging markets-institutions, remittances, or natural resources? *Emerging Markets Review*. 2009; 10(1):23-35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ememar.2008.10.005

- 17. Brasoveanu LO, Dragota V, Catarama D and Semenescu A. Correlations between capital market development and economic growth: The case of Romania. *Journal of applied quantitative methods*. 2008; 3(1):64-75.
- Caporale GM, Rault C, Sova AD and Sova R. Financial development and economic growth: Evidence from 10 new European Union members. *International Journal of Finance and Economics*. 2015; 20(1):48-60. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.1498
- 19. Carp L. Can stock market development boost economic growth? Empirical evidence from emerging markets in Central and Eastern Europe. Procedia Economics and Finance. 2012; 3:438-444.
- 20. Cavallo EA & Frankel JA. Does openness to trade make countries more vulnerable to sudden stops, or less? Using gravity to establish causality. *Journal of International Money and Finance*. 2008; 27(8):1430-1452.
- CEIC Data. Bangladesh Market Capitalization: % of GDP (2006-2023), 2023. Retrieved July 17, 2024, from CEIC:

https://www.ceicdata.com/en/indicator/bangladesh/marke t-capitalization--nominal-gdp.

- 22. Chan K and Fong WM. Trade size, order imbalance, and the volatility–volume relation. *Journal of Financial Economics*. 2000; 57(2):247-273. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(00)00057-X
- Cherif M and Gazdar K. Macroeconomic and institutional determinants of stock market development in MENA region: new results from a panel data analysis. *International Journal of Banking and Finance*. 2010; 7(1), Article 8. Retrieved from http://epublications.bond.edu.au/ijbf/vol7/iss1/8.
- 24. Chiad F and Hadj Sahraoui H, 2022. Macroeconomic Determinants of Stock Market Development: Evidence from Panel Data Analysis. https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/id/eprint/113797
- 25. Choudhury MAH. Stock Market Crash in 2010: An Empirical Study on Retail Investor's Perception in Bangladesh. *ASA University Review*, 2013, 7(1).
- 26. Dahal AK, Budhathoki PB & Bhattarai G. Exploring the Impact of Remittance and Economic Growth on Inflation. *SocioEconomic Challenges (SEC)*, 2024, 8(2).
- 27. Damiran S, Dorjdagva O, Sukhee B and Myagmarsuren T. Macroeconomic determinants of stock market volatility: Evidence from post socialist countries. *Journal of Eastern European and Central Asian Research (JEECAR)*. 2022; 9(4):569-580.
- Dayloğlu T & Aydın Y. Relationship between the volatility of stock returns and the volatility of macroeconomic variables: A case of Turkey. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Business*. 2019; 5(2):40-46.
- 29. Demirgüç-Kunt A and Levine R. Stock market development and financial intermediaries: stylized facts. *The World Bank Economic Review*. 1996; 10(2):291-321. https://doi.org/10.1093/wber/10.2.291
- 30. Dhingra K & Kapil S. Impact of Macroeconomic Variables on Stock Market—An Empirical Study. *Trade, Investment and Economic Growth: Issues for India and Emerging Economies*, 2021, 177-194.
- Diebold FX and Yilmaz K. Macroeconomic volatility and stock market volatility, worldwide (No. w14269). National Bureau of Economic Research, 2008.
- 32. Durgut E & Arici ND. SEÇİLİ MAKROEKONOMİK GÖSTERGELERİN HİSSE SENEDİ PİYASALARINA

ETKİSİ: TÜRKİYE ÖRNEĞİ. Finans Ekonomi ve Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi. 2022; 7(3):552-564.

- 33. El-Wassal KA. Foreign direct investment and economic growth in Arab countries (1970-2008): An inquiry into determinants of growth benefits. *Journal of Economic Development*. 2012; 37(4):79.
- 34. Emara N and Kasa H. The non-linear relationship between financial access and domestic savings: the case of emerging markets. *Applied Economics*. 2021; 53(3):345-363
- 35. Fakrul, Ahmed., Md., Ataur, Rahman, Chowdhury. Capital Market Efficiency and Economic Growth: Evidence from Bangladesh, 2024. doi: 10.24018/ejdevelop.2024.4.2.343
- 36. Garcia, V. F., and Liu, L. (1999). Macroeconomic determinants of stock market development. *Journal of applied Economics*, 2(1), 29-59.
- 37. Ghimire TP. Macroeconomic variables and effect on stock prices: Correlation evidence from Nepal. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Analysis, 2022, 5(07).
- Glosten LR, Jagannathan R and Runkle DE. On the relation between the expected value and the volatility of the nominal excess return on stocks. *The journal of finance*. 1993; 48(5):1779-1801. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1993.tb05128.x
- 39. Gopinathan R & Durai SRS. Stock market and macroeconomic variables: new evidence from India. *Financial Innovation*. 2019; 5(1):29.
- 40. Hasan MZ. Macroeconomic Determinants of Non-Performing Loans in Bangladesh: An ARDL Approach. *Sci. Res. J.* 2019; 7:84-89.
- 41. Hasan SM & Islam R. Influence of macroeconomic variables on exchange rate: a study on Bangladesh. *International Journal of Accounting & Finance Review*. 2023; 14(1):1-10.
- 42. Hossain MZ. Factors of share price volatility: Empirical evidence from private commercial banks in Bangladesh. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*. 2020; 11(4):110-117.
- 43. Hugida L and Sofian S. Analisis faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi volatilitas harga saham (Studi pada perusahaan yang terdaftar dalam indeks LQ45 periode 2006–2009) (Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Diponegoro), 2011.
- 44. Iqbal K & Pabon MNF. Quality of growth in Bangladesh. *The Bangladesh Development Studies*. 2018; 41(2):43-64.
- 45. Islam KZ, Akter Y & Md NA. Macroeconomic variables and stock returns in Bangladesh: an empirical analysis in the presence of structural breaks. *Journal of Economic Development*. 2020; 45(2):115-141.
- 46. Jamil GMH & Naima J. Impact of Macroeconomic Variables on Stock Returns: Evidence from the Banking Industry of Bangladesh, 2019.
- 47. Khan MFH & Billah MM. Macroeconomic factors and Stock exchange return: A Statistical Analysis, 2023. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.02229*.
- Lala, Rukh, Shafiq, ur, Rehman, Alam, Zeb. Impact of Macro and Micro Economic Variables on Volatility of Stock Prices: Empirical Evidence from Pakistan's Cement Industry. Global management sciences review, 2023. doi: 10.31703/gmsr.2023(viii-i).02

- 49. Levine R and Zervos S. Stock markets, banks, and economic growth. American economic review, 1998, 537-558.
- Liu MH, Margaritis D & Zhang Y. Inflation transmission in greater China. China & World Economy. 2015; 23(6):79-99.
- 51. Manali, Agrawal, Prateek, Kumar, Bansal, Ajit, Kumar, Saxena. Spillover Effect Due to Macroeconomic Variables: Evidence from Volatility in BRICS Nations. RGSA: Revista de Gestão Social e Ambiental, 2024. doi: 10.24857/rgsa.v18n1-172
- 52. Matadeen SJ. The macroeconomic determinants of stock market development from an African perspective. *Theoretical Economics Letters*. 2017; 7(7):1950-1964.
- 53. Mathuranthy, Amaresh., Sarathadevi, Anandasayanan., S., Ramesh. Macro-Economic Variables and Stock Market Performance: Empirical Evidence from Colombo Stock Exchange, 2020. doi: 10.31357/FHSS/VJHSS.V05I02.08
- 54. Matin MR. Impact of Macroeconomic Volatility on Stock Market Volatility in Bangladesh. *Journal of Financial Risk Management.* 2023; 12(3):238-261.
- 55. Moldir Mukan, A.Kh., Akhmetzhanova, MA, Mukametkaliyeva DT, Dzharikbayeva. Exploring the Relationship between Economic Indicators, Investor Distrust, and Stock Market Volatility: case study of the KASE index dynamics, 2024. Қарағанды университетінің хабаршысы. Экономика сериясы, doi: 10.31489/2024ec2/29-37
- 56. Mostafa ALI. Dynamic Relation between Economic Growth, Stock Market Depth and Macroeconomic Variables of Bangladesh. *Eurasian Journal of Business and Economics*. 2020; 13(26):45-63.
- 57. Mugendi J. Impact of Macroeconomic Variables on Stock Market Volatility in Kenya. *American Journal of Finance*. 2024; 10(1):59-71.
- Muzaffar Z & Malik IR. Market liquidity and volatility: Does economic policy uncertainty matter? Evidence from Asian emerging economies. *Plos one*. 2024; 19(6):e0301597.
- 59. Nazir MS, Nawaz MM, Anwar W and Ahmed F. Determinants of stock price volatility in karachi stock exchange: The mediating role of corporate dividend policy. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*. 2010; 55(55):100-107.
- Nisha N. Macroeconomic determinants of the behavior of Dhaka Stock Exchange (DSE). International Journal of Asian Business and Information Management (IJABIM). 2016; 7(1):1-17.
- 61. Nusrat S, Biswas M, Chowdhury MMR, Alam Z & Akhter S. Factors of Foreign Direct Investment Inflows: A Study on Korean Investment in the EPZs of Bangladesh. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*. 2024; 8(5):1928-1941.
- Otieno DA, Ngugi RW & Muriu PW. The impact of inflation rate on stock market returns: evidence from Kenya. *Journal of Economics and Finance*. 2019; 43:73-90.
- 63. Pástor L' and Stambaugh RF. Liquidity risk and expected stock returns. *Journal of Political economy*. 2003; 111(3):642-685
- 64. Phuong LCM, Quynh TT, Vi HLT & Truc DTK. Impact of Macro Factors on Stock Market Capitalization. *Vnu University of Economics and Business*. 2023; 3(2):60-60.

- 65. Rahman AA, Sidek NZM & Tafri FH. Macroeconomic determinants of Malaysian stock market. *African Journal of Business Management*. 2009; 3(3):95.
- 66. Rahman MM. The relationship between macro-economic variables and stock exchange prices: A case study in Dhaka Stock Exchange (DSE) in Bangladesh, 2019.
- Rahman MT & Moazzem KG. Capital market of Bangladesh: volatility in the Dhaka stock exchange (DSE) and role of regulators. *International Journal of Business and Management*. 2011; 6(7):86.
- Ranjith, Kumar, Neha, Banu. Macroeconomic factors affecting stock price volatility – a study on indian stock market, 2023. doi: 10.47715/jpc.b.978-93-91303-81-5
- Rashidatu, Abdullai, Oscar, Twumasi, Amos, Nana, Addo, Daniel, Danso, Tettey. The Impact of Macroeconomic Variables on Stock Market Performance in Ghana, 2023. Journal of Production, Operations Management and Economics, doi: 10.55529/jpome.36.1.14
- 70. Rathnayaka RMKT & Seneviratna DMKN. Impact of macroeconomic variables on stock market returns: a case study of Colombo stock exchange, Sri Lanka. *Kelaniya Journal of Management*, 2018, 6.
- 71. Schwert GW. Why does stock market volatility change over time?. *The journal of finance*. 1989; 44(5):1115-1153. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1989.tb02647.x
- Shah IH, Hiles C & Morley B. How do oil prices, macroeconomic factors and policies affect the market for renewable energy?. *Applied energy*. 2018; 215:87-97.
- Sutrisno B. The determinants of stock price volatility in Indonesia. *Economics and Accounting Journal*. 2020; 3(1):73-79.
- 74. Swati, Modi, Maitrey, Bhagat. A Study on Performance of Nifty 50 in Relation to Macro Economic Variables. *International journal of science and research*, 2023. doi: 10.21275/sr23306153456
- 75. Syakira AA. The influence of macroeconomic factors on the Indonesia stock price index in consumer goods sector (sub-sector cosmetics and household industry) (Doctoral dissertation, Politeknik Negeri Jakarta), 2023.
- 76. Tania FI, Reza T & Alam P. Impact of Macroeconomic Variables on Stocks Market-An Econometrics Analysis on Bangladesh Perspective, 2022.
- 77. Theodossiou P and Lee U. Relationship between volatility and expected returns across international stock markets. *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, 1995, 22(2).
- Walid C, Chaker A, Masood O & Fry J. Stock market volatility and exchange rates in emerging countries: A Markov-state switching approach. *Emerging Markets Review.* 2011; 12(3):272-292.
- 79. Wang J, Meric G, Liu Z and Meric I. Stock market crashes, firm characteristics, and stock returns. *Journal of Banking and Finance*. 2009; 33(9):1563-1574.
- 80. Yartey CA, 2008. The determinants of stock market development in emerging economies: is South Africa different? https://ssrn.com/abstract=1094214.