Impact Factor (SJIF): 6.092 E-ISSN: 2583-1615

International Journal of Research in Academic World

Received: 19/June/2024 IJRAW: 2024; 3(7):182-190 Accepted: 13/July/2024

# Advances and Challenges in Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks: A Comprehensive Review

**[1]Ravindra Kumar, [2]Nishant Singh and *[3]Mohd Ahmer**

[1]Research Scholar, Dr. Rammanohar Lohia Avadh University, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India.

[2]Assistant Professor, Dr. Rammanohar Lohia Avadh University, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India.

*[3]Associate Professor, BBD University Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Abstract**

Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks (UWSNs) are gaining significant attention due to their importance in various underwater applications, such as environmental monitoring, underwater exploration, disaster prevention, and military surveillance. These networks consist of sensor nodes deployed underwater to collect and transmit data through acoustic, radio, or optical communication methods. Recent advancements in UWSNs have focused on developing robust protocols and routing strategies to address the unique challenges posed by the underwater environment, including high latency, limited bandwidth, and high error rates. One of the key areas of research in UWSNs is the development of efficient communication technologies that can withstand the harsh underwater conditions. Acoustic communication, while prevalent due to its long-range capabilities, suffers from low data rates and high latency. Optical and radio frequency communications, on the other hand, offer higher data rates but are limited by range and susceptibility to absorption and scattering in water. Researchers are exploring hybrid communication systems that combine the strengths of different modalities to enhance performance. Routing strategies in UWSNs are another critical focus, aiming to ensure reliable data transmission while conserving energy. Techniques such as depth-based routing, clustering, and vector-based forwarding have been proposed to optimize the routing process and extend the network's lifespan. Energy optimization remains a significant challenge, given the difficulty of replacing or recharging batteries underwater. Strategies like energy-efficient MAC protocols, duty-cycling, and energy harvesting are being explored to mitigate this issue.

**Keywords:** Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks (UWSNs), protocols, routing strategies, energy optimization, underwater environments

## 1. Introduction

Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks (UWSNs) have emerged as a critical technology with diverse applications in marine exploration, environmental monitoring, underwater surveillance, and disaster management (Alfouzan, 2021) [2]. These networks consist of autonomous underwater sensor nodes equipped with sensing, processing, and communication capabilities, allowing them to collect data from the underwater environment and communicate wirelessly with other nodes or surface stations (Ryynänen *et al*., 2006) [36]. The importance of UWSNs lies in their ability to gather that are otherwise inaccessible or difficult to monitor using traditional methods (Ali *et al*., 2020; Gupta *et al*., 2020; Jouhari *et al*., 2019; Khisa & Moh, 2021) [3, 13, 20, 24].

One of the primary challenges facing UWSNs is the limitation of energy resources (Gupta & Goyal, 2021; Islam & Lee, 2019) [12, 17]. Unlike terrestrial sensor networks, where nodes can often be powered through renewable energy sources or periodic battery replacements, underwater nodes rely primarily on finite battery reserves (Adil *et al*., 2020) [1]. This constraint necessitates the development of energy-efficient protocols and strategies to prolong network lifetime and ensure continuous operation (Alfouzan, 2021; Khisa & Moh, 2021) [2, 24].

Another key challenge is the communication range of underwater nodes (Li *et al*., 2019; Muzzammil *et al*., 2020; Yang *et al*., n.d.) [25, 28]. The propagation of wireless signals underwater is significantly different from that in air, with factors such as attenuation, reflection, and scattering affecting signal strength and reliability (Ali *et al*., 2020; Fattah *et al*., 2020; Osamy *et al*., 2022) [3, 8, 32]. This limited communication range imposes constraints on data transmission rates, network coverage, and connectivity, requiring innovative communication technologies and protocols tailored for underwater environments (Chelbi & Moussi, 2021; Goyal *et al*., 2019b; Khan *et al*., 2020; Lissy & Sam, 2006) [6, 11, 23, 26].

Furthermore, routing complexities in UWSNs add another layer of challenge. Traditional routing algorithms designed for terrestrial networks may not be suitable for underwater deployments due to the unique characteristics of underwater communication, such as variable channel conditions, node mobility, and spatial constraints. Effective routing strategies

***Corresponding Author:** Mohd Ahmer

that consider these challenges are essential for establishing reliable and efficient communication paths among underwater nodes (Alfouzan, 2021; Awan *et al*., 2019; Nayak *et al*., 2021a, 2021b) [2, 5, 29, 30].

In light of these challenges, ongoing research and development efforts in the field of UWSNs focus on addressing energy constraints, improving communication reliability, optimizing routing protocols, and enhancing overall network performance. The significance of UWSNs in advancing our understanding of the underwater world, supporting marine activities, and facilitating real-time data collection underscores the importance of overcoming these challenges through innovative technological solutions (Amutha *et al*., 2021) [4].

## 2. Routing Strategies in UWSNs

Routing strategies in Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks (UWSNs) play a crucial role in establishing communication paths among underwater nodes. These strategies can be categorized into location-based routing and location-free routing, each with its own set of advantages and challenges (Yahya *et al*., 2019) [41].

- **i). Location-Based Routing:** Location-based routing relies on the knowledge of node locations to determine optimal communication paths. Nodes in UWSNs may have access to their own geographic coordinates using techniques like GPS or acoustic localization systems. Routing protocols based on node localization include (Radi *et al*., 2012) [35].
- **ii). Geographic Routing:** This approach directs data packets towards the destination node based on geographic coordinates. Protocols like GPSR (Greedy Perimeter Stateless Routing) and GOAFR (Geographic Opportunistic Adaptive Fidelity Routing) utilize node positions for efficient packet forwarding.
- **iii). Range-Based Routing:** These protocols consider the transmission range of nodes to establish communication links. Examples include DV-Hop (Distance Vector Hop) and APTEEN (Adaptive Protocols for Throughput and Energy Efficient Networks).

### Advantages

a) Efficient path determination based on actual node positions.
b) Reduced overhead in routing decisions due to direct routing paths.
c) Better adaptability to dynamic underwater environments.

### Challenges

a) Dependency on accurate localization information, which may be challenging to obtain in underwater scenarios.
b) Vulnerability to localization errors leading to suboptimal routing decisions.
c) Limited scalability in large-scale networks due to localization overhead.

## 3. Location-Free Routing

Location-free routing strategies operate without explicit knowledge of node positions (Hayes & Ali, 2016). These protocols do not rely on geographic coordinates and instead use other metrics or techniques for routing decisions. Examples of location-free routing protocols include:

- **i). Data-Centric Routing:** These protocols focus on data attributes or content rather than node locations. Examples include SPIN (Sensor Protocols for Information via Negotiation) and directed diffusion, where data-driven forwarding decisions are made based on content popularity or interest.
- **ii). Energy-Based Routing:** These protocols consider energy levels of nodes to optimize routing paths. Algorithms like EEDBR (Energy Efficient Depth-Based Routing) and PEDAP (Priority Energy-Based Data Aggregation Protocol) prioritize energy-efficient routes.

### Advantages

a) Reduced reliance on accurate node localization, making them suitable for scenarios with localization challenges.
b) Flexibility in adapting to dynamic network conditions and node movements.
c) Potential for scalability in large-scale networks due to reduced localization overhead.

### Challenges

a) Increased overhead in data-centric or energy-based routing decisions.
b) Difficulty in maintaining efficient routing paths without accurate location information.
c) Potential for suboptimal routing paths in dynamic underwater environments.

In summary, location-based routing strategies offer direct and efficient path determination based on node positions but require accurate localization information and may face scalability challenges. On the other hand, location-free routing strategies provide flexibility and adaptability in dynamic environments but may incur higher routing overhead and potential for suboptimal routing decisions without accurate node positions. The choice of routing strategy in UWSNs depends on the specific deployment scenarios, network size, localization capabilities, and performance requirements.

## 4. Communication Technologies

Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks (UWSNs) rely primarily on acoustic communication for transmitting data underwater. Acoustic modems are the key communication technology used in UWSNs, facilitating communication between underwater sensor nodes, surface stations, and other network components. However, designing effective communication systems for UWSNs presents several challenges, and recent advancements have been made to address these challenges (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers & IEEE Communications Society, n.d.; Li *et al*., 2019; Yang *et al*., n.d.) [25].

### Use of Acoustic Modems

Acoustic modems are specialized devices designed to transmit and receive data using acoustic waves in underwater environments. These modems typically operate in the low-frequency range to mitigate attenuation and signal loss underwater. They are essential for establishing communication links between underwater nodes and external entities, such as surface buoys or ships (Ali *et al*., 2020; Wei *et al*., 2022) [3, 40].

### Challenges in Designing Communication Systems for UWSNs

Designing communication systems for UWSNs poses unique challenges due to the properties of underwater environments (Poornima & Paramasivan, 2020; Ullah *et al*., 2021) [34, 38]:

a) **Attenuation and Signal Loss:** Acoustic signals experience significant attenuation and signal loss as they propagate through water, limiting communication range and data transmission rates.
b) **Noise and Interference:** Underwater environments are prone to various sources of noise and interference, such as ambient noise from marine life, vessel traffic, and natural phenomena, which can degrade communication quality.
c) **Bandwidth Limitations:** The available bandwidth for acoustic communication in underwater environments is limited compared to terrestrial wireless communication, affecting data transfer rates and throughput.
d) **Power Consumption:** Acoustic communication systems consume considerable power, particularly during transmission, leading to energy constraints for underwater nodes with limited battery capacity.
e) **Modem Size and Complexity:** Acoustic modems used in UWSNs must be compact, robust, and capable of operating in harsh underwater conditions, adding to the design complexity and manufacturing challenges.

**Recent Advancements**

Despite these challenges, recent advancements have been made to improve the performance of communication systems in UWSNs (Khisa & Moh, 2021; Muzzammil *et al*., 2020) [24, 28]:

a) **Power Consumption Optimization:** Researchers have developed energy-efficient modulation and transmission techniques to reduce the power consumption of acoustic modems. Techniques such as duty cycling, adaptive modulation, and low-power standby modes help prolong the battery life of underwater nodes.
b) **Data Rate Enhancement**: Advances in signal processing algorithms and modulation schemes have led to improved data rates for acoustic communication. Techniques like spread spectrum modulation, error correction coding, and channel equalization enhance data transmission efficiency.
c) **Miniaturization and Integration:** There have been efforts to miniaturize acoustic modems and integrate them with sensor nodes, reducing overall system size and complexity. Compact and lightweight modems with enhanced functionality have been developed for deployment in UWSNs.
d) **Multiplexing and Networking Protocols:** Multiplexing techniques such as frequency division multiplexing (FDM) and time division multiple access (TDMA) are used to optimize channel utilization and support multiple communication channels in UWSNs. Networking protocols like MAC (Medium Access Control) protocols and routing algorithms are tailored for underwater communication challenges.

These advancements in communication technologies for UWSNs are instrumental in overcoming the inherent challenges of underwater communication, improving power efficiency, data rates, and overall system performance. Continued research and innovation in this field are essential for enabling reliable and high-performance communication in underwater environments, supporting various applications such as ocean monitoring, scientific research, and underwater exploration (Goyal *et al*., 2019a; Islam & Park, 2020; Luo *et al*., 2021; Su *et al*., 2020) [10, 18, 27, 37].

## 5. Sensor Node Design and Challenges

Sensor nodes in Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks (UWSNs) are designed to operate effectively in harsh underwater environments, collecting data, processing information, and communicating wirelessly with other nodes or external entities. The design of sensor nodes in UWSNs involves several components and considerations, along with challenges related to physical conditions, data management, and communication technologies (Gnanavel *et al*., 2022; Kanoun *et al*., 2021) [9, 21].

**a) Components of Sensor Nodes:**

Sensor nodes in UWSNs typically consist of the following components:

- **Sensors:** These include various types of sensors such as temperature sensors, pressure sensors, acoustic sensors, and water quality sensors. These sensors collect data related to the underwater environment, including temperature variations, pressure levels, acoustic signals, and water parameters.
- **Processing Unit:** The processing unit, often a microcontroller or microprocessor, is responsible for data processing, sensor data fusion, signal processing, and executing algorithms for data analysis and decision-making.
- **Communication Module:** This module enables wireless communication between sensor nodes and other network components. In UWSNs, acoustic modems are commonly used for underwater communication, facilitating data transmission and reception.
- **Power Supply:** Sensor nodes in UWSNs are powered by batteries, which may be rechargeable or replaceable. Power management techniques are employed to optimize energy usage and prolong battery life.
- **Memory:** Sensor nodes have on board memory for storing sensor data, configuration parameters, and software programs. Memory management is critical for efficient data storage and retrieval.

**b) Considerations for Physical Conditions**

Sensor node design in UWSNs must take into account the challenging physical conditions of underwater environments:

- **Temperature:** Underwater temperatures can vary significantly depending on depth, location, and environmental factors. Sensor nodes must be designed to operate within a wide temperature range and withstand temperature fluctuations without compromising performance.
- **Pressure:** Water pressure increases with depth in underwater environments, exerting significant pressure on sensor nodes. Pressure-resistant enclosures and materials are used to protect sensor components and ensure reliable operation at different depths.
- **Corrosion and Fouling:** Exposure to saltwater can cause corrosion and fouling on sensor nodes, affecting performance and longevity. Anti-corrosion coatings, materials, and maintenance strategies are employed to mitigate these effects.
- **Hydrodynamics:** Sensor node design must consider hydrodynamic forces such as water currents, turbulence, and drag. Streamlined and hydrodynamic shapes are used to minimize resistance and improve node stability.
- **Buoyancy and Anchoring**: Sensor nodes may need to be buoyant or anchored depending on deployment requirements. Buoyancy control mechanisms and

anchoring systems are incorporated into node design for stability and positioning.

**c) Management of Data Conversion and Communication**

Data conversion and communication in UWSNs involve several challenges and considerations:

- **Data Conversion:** Sensor data collected from various sensors need to be converted into digital format for processing and transmission. Analog-to-digital converters (ADCs) are used for this purpose, with considerations for accuracy, resolution, and sampling rates.
- **Acoustic Modem Communication:** Acoustic modems enable wireless communication underwater but face challenges such as limited bandwidth, signal attenuation, and noise interference. Data transmission rates, modulation schemes, error correction techniques, and communication protocols are optimized for reliable and efficient communication.
- **Data Management:** Sensor nodes must manage data storage, retrieval, and transmission efficiently. Data aggregation, compression, and prioritization techniques are used to reduce data volume, conserve energy, and optimize network bandwidth utilization.
- Addressing these challenges and considerations in sensor node design is essential for ensuring the reliability, performance, and longevity of UWSNs in diverse underwater environments. Advances in materials, sensor technology, power management, and communication protocols continue to drive innovation in UWSN deployments for applications such as environmental monitoring, marine research, underwater surveillance, and resource management.

## 6. Recent Protocols and Optimization Techniques

Recent advancements in Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks (UWSNs) have led to the development of innovative protocols and optimization techniques aimed at improving network performance, energy efficiency, and reliability. These include cluster-based approaches, optimization algorithms for routing and clustering, and comparisons between location-based and location-free routing strategies.

**a) Cluster-Based Approaches:** CUWUSN

- **Protocol Overview:** CUWUSN (Cluster-based Underwater Wireless Sensor Network) is a cluster-based approach designed for network monitoring and energy efficiency in UWSNs (Amutha *et al.*, 2021; Chelbi & Moussi, 2021) [4, 6].
- **Objective:** The protocol focuses on enhancing network longevity and reducing energy expenditure by implementing a cluster-based architecture with multi-hop transmission.
- **Key Features:** CUWUSN utilizes clustering to organize sensor nodes into clusters, with cluster heads responsible for data aggregation and forwarding. Multi-hop transmission within clusters reduces energy consumption and extends network lifetime.
- **Advantages:** Improved network scalability, reduced energy consumption through cluster-based data aggregation, and enhanced network monitoring capabilities.
- **Applications:** CUWUSN is suitable for applications requiring continuous network monitoring, data aggregation, and energy-efficient communication in underwater environments.

**b) Optimization Algorithms: BES, KACO, and MCR-UWSN**

**BES (Bald Eagle Search) Algorithm:**

- **Routing Optimization:** BES is an optimization algorithm designed for routing in UWSNs, inspired by nature. It aims to optimize energy consumption, reduce delays, and enhance network lifetime (Kaur *et al*., 2014) [22].
- **Phases:** The algorithm operates in three phases: initialization, construction, and data transmission, where routing paths are optimized based on energy-efficient criteria.
- **Advantages:** BES improves routing efficiency, minimizes delays, and prolongs network lifetime through optimized routing paths.

**KACO (K-means with Ant Colony Optimization) and MCR-UWSN (Metaheuristics-based clustering in UWSN):**

- **Clustering and Routing Optimization:** KACO and MCR-UWSN are optimization algorithms focusing on energy efficiency, packet transmission, and network scalability (Parizi *et al*., 2020; Poornima & Paramasivan, 2020; Su *et al*., 2020) [34, 37].
- **KACO:** Utilizes K-means clustering and Ant Colony Optimization for clustering and routing optimization, reducing energy consumption and improving packet delivery.
- **MCR-UWSN:** Utilizes cultural emperor penguin optimizer-based clustering and grasshopper optimization for routing, demonstrating efficiency improvements.
- **Advantages:** These algorithms enhance energy efficiency, reduce packet transmission delays, and optimize routing paths in UWSNs.

**c) Comparison of Location-Based and Location-Free Routing Strategies**

**Location-Based Routing:**

- **Advantages:** Direct routing paths based on node positions, reduced routing overhead, and efficient path determination.
- **Challenges:** Dependency on accurate node localization, scalability issues in large networks, and vulnerability to localization errors.

**Location-Free Routing:**

- Advantages: Flexibility in dynamic environments, reduced localization overhead, and adaptability to changing network conditions.
- **Challenges:** Increased routing overhead, potential for suboptimal routing decisions without location information, and dependency on data-driven routing metrics.
- **Comparison:** Location-based routing strategies offer efficiency in direct path determination but require accurate localization. Location-free strategies provide flexibility but may incur higher overhead. The choice depends on deployment scenarios, scalability requirements, and localization capabilities.

  These recent protocols and optimization techniques demonstrate ongoing efforts to improve UWSN performance, energy efficiency, and scalability. Cluster-based approaches like CUWUSN, optimization algorithms such as BES, KACO, and MCR-UWSN, and comparisons between location-based and location-free routing strategies contribute to advancing UWSN capabilities for various underwater applications.

## 7. Innovative Routing Protocols and Clustering Mechanisms

Introduction to protocols such as EOCA, S-BEAR, FCM-MFO hybrid approach, and EEMDCHSRP and their contributions to addressing energy efficiency, node reliability, and communication range challenges in Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks (UWSNs).

### a) EOCA (Energy Optimization Clustering Algorithm) :

- **Protocol Overview:** EOCA is an energy-efficient clustering algorithm designed for UWSNs.
- **Objective:** The protocol aims to optimize energy consumption, improve node reliability, and extend communication range in underwater environments (Yu *et al.*, 2020) [43].
- **Energy-Efficient Clustering:** EOCA computes transmission delays among sensor nodes and the sink, selecting cluster heads based on delay values and forwarders based on node depth.
- **Balanced Energy Consumption:** By balancing energy consumption across nodes, EOCA enhances network performance and longevity.
- **Advantages:** Improved energy efficiency, enhanced node reliability, and extended communication range in UWSNs.

### b) S-BEAR (Simplified Balanced Energy Adaptive Routing) :

- **Protocol Overview:** S-BEAR is a routing protocol employing K-means clustering for cluster formation and head selection (Umbreen *et al.*, 2020) [39].
- **Energy Efficiency:** Nodes join clusters based on minimal Euclidean distances, enabling multi-hop communication between nodes and sinks with energy efficiency.
- **Load Balancing:** S-BEAR optimizes cluster formation and load balancing, improving network stability and reliability.
- **Advantages:** Efficient energy utilization, reduced communication delays, and enhanced node reliability in UWSNs.

### c) FCM-MFO Hybrid Clustering Approach:

- **Protocol Overview:** FCM-MFO is a hybrid clustering approach combining Fuzzy C-Means (FCM) and Moth-Flame Optimization (MFO) algorithms (Nguyen *et al.*, 2021; Yu *et al.*, 2020) [31, 43].
- **Energy Efficiency:** FCM-MFO optimizes cluster formation using FCM and cluster head selection using MFO, improving energy efficiency and communication range.
- **Optimized Routing:** The protocol enhances routing efficiency and network performance through adaptive clustering and optimized forwarding.
- **Advantages:** Energy-efficient clustering, optimized routing paths, and improved communication reliability in UWSNs.

### d) EEMDCHSRP (Energy Efficient and Mobility-based Dynamic Cluster Head Selection Routing Protocol)

- **Protocol Overview:** EEMDCHSRP is designed for effective cluster head selection based on node density, energy utilization, and mobility factors (Cho *et al.*, 2021) [27].
- **Energy Efficiency:** The protocol optimizes energy consumption by selecting cluster heads strategically, balancing node loads, and avoiding network overload.
- **Dynamic Cluster Head Selection:** EEMDCHSRP adapts to changing network conditions, enhancing energy efficiency and communication reliability.
- **Advantages:** Reduced response time, improved network throughput, and enhanced node reliability in dynamic UWSN environments.

  These innovative routing protocols and clustering mechanisms play a vital role in addressing key challenges faced by UWSNs, including energy efficiency, node reliability, and communication range limitations. By optimizing clustering strategies, balancing energy consumption, and dynamically adapting to network dynamics, these protocols contribute to improving overall network performance and reliability in underwater environments.

## 8. Addressing Void Regions and Energy Balancing

Protocols such as QoS-dependent routing, EERBLC, TCEB, and EULC are designed to manage void regions and balance energy consumption in Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks (UWSNs). These protocols employ various strategies to optimize routing paths, enhance cluster stability, and improve energy efficiency in UWSNs.

### a) QoS-Dependent Routing Protocol

- **Protocol Overview:** The QoS-dependent routing protocol considers node information within a two-hop range for optimal routing decisions (Haque *et al.*, 2020; Ismail *et al.*, 2022) [14, 19].
- **Void Region Management:** Parameters such as depth, next distance, and packet holding time are analyzed to identify optimal forwarder nodes, minimizing void regions.
- **Evaluation Criteria:** The protocol is evaluated based on criteria such as cluster stability, load balancing, packet delivery ratio, and energy efficiency.
- **Advantages:** Improved network performance, reduced dead node counts, and optimized routing paths in UWSNs.

### b) EERBLC (Energy-Efficient Routing Protocol Based on Layer and Unequal Clusters) :

- **Protocol Overview:** EERBLC focuses on energy-efficient routing by considering node depth, link quality, and energy levels (Nguyen *et al.*, 2021) [31].
- **Void Region Mitigation:** Cluster head selection based on forwarding ratio and residual energy helps manage void regions and optimize routing paths.
- **Evaluation Criteria:** Criteria such as cluster stability, energy balance, routing overhead, and network throughput are used to evaluate protocol performance.
- **Advantages:** Enhanced energy efficiency, reduced packet transmission delays, and improved network stability in UWSNs.

### c) TCEB (Topology Control Energy Balance Protocol)

- **Protocol Overview:** TCEB is a non-cooperative protocol designed for balancing energy consumption in UWSN (Haque *et al.*, 2020) [14].
- **Energy Balancing Strategy:** Cluster head selection depends on node energy levels and path loss, with a payoff function indicating energy balance objectives.

- **Evaluation Criteria:** Cluster stability, energy consumption distribution, network lifetime, and communication reliability are considered in protocol evaluation.
- **Advantages:** Effective energy balancing, prolonged network lifetime, and improved communication range in UWSNs.

**d) EULC (Energy Balanced Unequal Layering Clustering Algorithm)**

- **Protocol Overview:** EULC aims to reduce energy consumption by balancing cluster sizes across layers in UWSNs (Haque *et al*., 2020) [14].
- **Energy Balancing Mechanism:** Weight computation considers node energy, sink distance, and node degree, optimizing cluster formation and head selection.
- **Evaluation Criteria:** Cluster stability, energy consumption distribution, packet delivery efficiency, and network scalability are evaluated to assess protocol effectiveness.
- **Advantages:** Energy-efficient clustering, improved network throughput, and enhanced communication reliability in UWSNs.

In addition to these protocols, computational intelligence methods like Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and genetic algorithms are applied to optimize cluster formation, routing paths, and energy consumption in UWSNs. These methods contribute to improving network performance, mitigating void regions, and achieving energy balance across nodes, leading to enhanced reliability and efficiency in underwater communication networks.

## 9. Case Studies and Simulation Results

Simulation tools play a crucial role in evaluating the performance of Underwater Wireless Sensor Network (UWSN) protocols. Here's an overview of simulation tools commonly used, case studies demonstrating protocol performance, and comparative analysis with traditional routing methods and clustering approaches.

**a) Simulation Tools**

- **NS2 (Network Simulator 2):** NS2 is a widely used discrete event simulator for network research, including underwater communication protocols. It provides a platform for simulating network behaviors, evaluating protocol performance, and analyzing network metrics.
- **MATLAB/Simulink:** MATLAB/Simulink is another popular tool for UWSN simulations, offering a range of functionalities for modeling network components, implementing protocols, and conducting performance analysis.
- **OMNeT++:** OMNeT++ is a discrete event simulation framework suitable for modeling and simulating communication networks, including UWSNs. It supports the development of custom network models and protocol implementations for performance evaluation.
- **QualNet:** QualNet is a commercial simulation tool designed for modeling and analyzing communication networks, including underwater environments. It provides a user-friendly interface and extensive simulation capabilities for UWSN research.

**b) Case Studies**

- **Energy Consumption Analysis:** Case studies involve evaluating protocol performance in terms of energy consumption. Metrics such as energy per bit, energy per packet, and overall network energy consumption are analyzed to assess protocol efficiency.
- **Packet Delivery Ratio:** The packet delivery ratio is a critical metric indicating the percentage of successfully delivered packets. Case studies compare protocol performance in terms of packet delivery under varying network conditions and loads.
- **Network Lifetime:** Network lifetime analysis assesses how long the network can sustain operation before nodes deplete their energy resources. Protocols aiming for extended network lifetime demonstrate their effectiveness through simulation results.
- **Comparative Analysis:** Case studies often include comparative analysis with traditional routing methods and clustering approaches. Performance metrics such as end-to-end delay, throughput, scalability, and reliability are compared to highlight the advantages of innovative protocols over traditional ones.

**c) Simulation Results**

- **Energy-Efficient Protocols:** Protocols like EOCA, S-BEAR, FCM-MFO hybrid approach, and EEMDCHSRP demonstrate improved energy efficiency compared to traditional routing methods. Simulation results show reduced energy consumption per packet and extended network lifetime.
- **Packet Delivery and Reliability:** Case studies showcase higher packet delivery ratios and improved reliability with innovative protocols. Optimized routing paths and clustering mechanisms contribute to enhanced communication reliability and reduced packet loss.
- **Network Scalability:** Comparative analysis reveals the scalability of innovative protocols in handling large-scale UWSNs. Scalability metrics such as network throughput, node density, and communication range demonstrate protocol effectiveness in diverse underwater environments.
- **Performance Trade-offs:** Simulation results highlight performance trade-offs such as increased computational complexity versus improved network performance. Protocols balancing energy consumption, packet delivery, and network lifetime achieve optimal performance under varying conditions.

In conclusion, case studies and simulation results provide valuable insights into the performance of UWSN protocols, showcasing their energy efficiency, packet delivery reliability, network scalability, and comparative advantages over traditional methods. Simulation tools like NS2, MATLAB/Simulink, OMNeT++, and QualNet facilitate rigorous performance evaluation and validation of protocols for real-world deployment in underwater communication networks.

## 10. Challenges and Future Directions

**a) Remaining Challenges:**

- **Node Isolation:** Node isolation continues to be a challenge in UWSNs, leading to communication gaps and reduced network coverage. Addressing node isolation requires efficient routing protocols that can dynamically adjust routing paths to maintain connectivity.

- **Energy Drain Risks:** Energy drain risks, especially in nodes near the sea surface favored by traditional routing algorithms, pose threats to network longevity. Protocols need to balance energy consumption among nodes and consider alternative routing paths to mitigate energy drain risks.
- **Underwater Communication Limitations:** Underwater communication faces limitations such as reduced bandwidth, increased transmission delays, and signal interference. Overcoming these limitations requires advancements in communication technologies, modulation techniques, and signal processing algorithms tailored for underwater environments.

### b) Future Directions for Research

- **Improved Clustering Methods:** Future research should focus on developing advanced clustering methods that can effectively balance energy consumption, optimize cluster formation, and mitigate void regions in UWSNs. Dynamic clustering algorithms capable of adapting to changing network conditions and node mobility are essential for improving network performance.
- **Energy-Efficient Routing:** Energy-efficient routing protocols remain a key area for future research in UWSNs. Protocols that optimize routing paths, minimize energy consumption, and enhance communication reliability will play a crucial role in extending network lifetime and supporting diverse underwater applications.
- **Robust Communication Protocols:** Future research should explore robust communication protocols resilient to underwater challenges such as channel noise, path loss, and signal attenuation. Adaptive modulation schemes, error correction techniques, and interference mitigation strategies can improve communication reliability in harsh underwater environments.
- **Integration of AI and Machine Learning:** Integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) techniques can enhance UWSN performance. AI-based optimization algorithms, predictive analytics for node behavior, and adaptive routing algorithms driven by ML models can optimize network operations and adapt to dynamic underwater conditions.
- **Cross-Disciplinary Collaboration:** Collaboration between researchers from diverse fields such as underwater acoustics, signal processing, communication engineering, and marine biology is essential for advancing UWSN research. Cross-disciplinary insights can lead to innovative solutions addressing complex challenges in underwater communication networks.

  In summary, addressing remaining challenges such as node isolation, energy drain risks, and underwater communication limitations requires concerted research efforts focused on improved clustering methods, energy-efficient routing, robust communication protocols, integration of AI and ML techniques, and cross-disciplinary collaboration. These future directions will pave the way for enhancing UWSN performance, extending network lifetime, and enabling innovative applications in underwater environments.

## Conclusion

i). **Routing Strategies:** The review paper discusses routing strategies in Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks (UWSNs), categorizing them into location-based and location-free approaches. It highlights the advantages and challenges of each strategy, emphasizing the need for efficient routing protocols to optimize energy consumption and enhance communication reliability in underwater environments.

ii). **Communication Technologies:** Acoustic modems are identified as the primary communication technology for UWSNs, with ongoing research focused on improving power consumption, data rate, and modem size. Challenges in designing communication systems for UWSNs, such as water dependency and transmission difficulties, are also addressed.

iii). **Sensor Node Design:** The review paper covers the components of sensor nodes used in UWSNs and the considerations for managing physical conditions like temperature and pressure. It emphasizes the role of acoustic modems in data conversion and communication within UWSNs.

iv). **Recent Protocols and Optimization Techniques:** Several innovative protocols and optimization techniques are discussed, including cluster-based approaches like CUWUSN and optimization algorithms such as BES, KACO, and MCR-UWSN. The paper compares location-based and location-free routing strategies, highlighting advancements in energy efficiency and packet transmission.

In conclusion, the potential impact of UWSNs is significant across various applications. These networks can revolutionize marine research, disaster management, environmental monitoring, and underwater resource exploration. With continuous advancements in technology and ongoing research efforts, UWSNs have the potential to contribute significantly to scientific discovery, environmental sustainability, and safety in aquatic ecosystems.

## References

1. Adil M, Khan R, Ali J, Roh BH, Hoai Ta QT, Almaiah MA. An energy proficient load balancing routing scheme for wireless sensor networks to maximize their lifespan in an operational environment. *IEEE Access*. 2020; 8:163209-163224. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3020310
2. Alfouzan FA. Energy-efficient collision avoidance mac protocols for underwater sensor networks: Survey and challenges. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, 2021, 9(7). https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse9070741
3. Ali MF, Jayakody DNK, Chursin YA, Affes S, Dmitry S. Recent Advances and Future Directions on Underwater Wireless Communications. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*. 2020; 27(5):1379–1412. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11831-019-09354-8
4. Amutha J, Sharma S, Sharma SK. Strategies based on various aspects of clustering in wireless sensor networks using classical, optimization and machine learning techniques: Review, taxonomy, research findings, challenges and future directions. In *Computer Science Review*, 2021, 40. Elsevier Ireland Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosrev.2021.100376
5. Awan KM, Shah PA, Iqbal K, Gillani S, Ahmad W, Nam Y. Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks: A Review of Recent Issues and Challenges. In *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*. Hindawi Limited, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6470359
6. Chelbi S, Moussi R. A cluster-based routing protocol and fault detection for wireless sensor network. *International*

*Journal of Computer Networks and Communications*. 2021; 13(4):71-83. https://doi.org/10.5121/ijcnc.2021.13405
7. Cho H, Kim S, Oh S, Lee E, Kim SH. Energy-efficient and reliable face-routing scheme in wireless networks. *Sensors*, 2021, 21(8). https://doi.org/10.3390/s21082746
8. Fattah S, Gani A, Ahmedy I, Idris MYI, Hashem IAT. A survey on underwater wireless sensor networks: Requirements, taxonomy, recent advances, and open research challenges. *Sensors (Switzerland).* 2020; 20(18):1-30. https://doi.org/10.3390/s20185393
9. Gnanavel S, Sreekrishna M, Mani V, Kumaran G, Amshavalli RS, Alharbi S, Maashi M, Khalaf OI, Abdulsahib GM, Alghamdi AD, Aldhyani THH. Analysis of Fault Classifiers to Detect the Faults and Node Failures in a Wireless Sensor Network. *Electronics (Switzerland),* 2022, 11(10). https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics11101609
10. Goyal N, Dave M, Verma AK. Data aggregation in underwater wireless sensor network: Recent approaches and issues. In *Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences*. 2019a; 31(3):275-286. King Saud bin Abdulaziz University. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksuci.2017.04.007
11. Goyal N, Dave M, Verma AK. Protocol Stack of Underwater Wireless Sensor Network: Classical Approaches and New Trends. In *Wireless Personal Communications*. 2019b; 104(3):995-1022. Springer New York LLC. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11277-018-6064-z
12. Gupta O, Goyal N. The evolution of data gathering static and mobility models in underwater wireless sensor networks: a survey. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*. 2021; 12(10):9757–9773. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12652-020-02719-z
13. Gupta O, Goyal N, Anand D, Kadry S, Nam Y, Singh A. Underwater Networked Wireless Sensor Data Collection for Computational Intelligence Techniques: Issues, Challenges, and Approaches. *IEEE Access*. 2020; 8:122959-122974. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3007502
14. Haque KF, Kabir KH, Abdelgawad A. Advancement of routing protocols and applications of Underwater Wireless Sensor Network (UWSN)-A survey. In *Journal of Sensor and Actuator Networks*m, 2020, 9(2). MDPI AG. https://doi.org/10.3390/jsan9020019
15. Hayes T, Ali FH. Location aware sensor routing protocol for mobile wireless sensor networks. *IET Wireless Sensor Systems*. 2016; 6(2):49-57. https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-wss.2015.0027
16. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, & IEEE Communications Society. (n.d.). *Proceedings of the 2017 International Conference on Wireless Communications, Signal Processing and Networking (WiSPNET): 22-24 March 2017, Chennai, India*.
17. Islam T, Lee YK. A comprehensive survey of recent routing protocols for underwater acoustic sensor networks. In *Sensors (Switzerland),* 2019, 19(19). MDPI AG. https://doi.org/10.3390/s19194256
18. Islam T, Park SH. A comprehensive survey of the recently proposed localization protocols for underwater sensor networks. In *IEEE Access*. 2020; 8:179224-179243. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3027820
19. Ismail AS, Wang XF, Hawbani A, Alsamhi S, Abdel Aziz S. Routing protocols classification for underwater wireless sensor networks based on localization and mobility. *Wireless Networks*. 2022; 28(2):797-826. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11276-021-02880-z
20. Jouhari M, Ibrahimi K, Tembine H, Ben-Othman J. Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks: A Survey on Enabling Technologies, Localization Protocols, and Internet of Underwater Things. *IEEE Access*. 2019; 7:96879–96899. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2928876
21. Kanoun O, Bradai S, Khriji S, Bouattour G, El Houssaini D, Ben Ammar M, Naifar S, Bouhamed A, Derbel F, Viehweger C. Energy-aware system design for autonomous wireless sensor nodes: A comprehensive review. In *Sensors (Switzerland).* 2021; 21(2):1-25. MDPI AG. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21020548
22. Kaur N, Singh K, Kaur H. Radial Basis Function (RBF) Based Routing Optimization for Wireless Sensor Network. In *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering*, 2014, 3. www.ijarcce.com
23. Khan H, Hassan SA, Jung H.. On Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks Routing Protocols: A Review. In *IEEE Sensors Journal*. 2020; 20(18):10371-10386. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEN.2020.2994199
24. Khisa S, Moh S. Survey on Recent Advancements in Energy-Efficient Routing Protocols for Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks. *IEEE Access*. 2021; 9:55045-55062. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3071490
25. Li S, Qu W, Liu C, Qiu T, Zhao Z. Survey on high reliability wireless communication for underwater sensor networks. In *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 2019, 148. Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2019.102446
26. Lissy D, Sam SB. *An intelligent routing protocol based on artificial neural network for wireless sensor networks*, 2006, 11(23). www.arpnjournals.com
27. Luo J, Chen Y, Wu M, Yang Y. A Survey of Routing Protocols for Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks. In *IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials*. 2021; 23(1):137-160. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc. https://doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2020.3048190
28. Muzzammil M, Ahmed N, Qiao G, Ullah I, Wan L. Fundamentals and Advancements of Magnetic-Field Communication for Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*. 2020; 68(11):7555–7570. https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.2020.3001451
29. Nayak P, Swetha GK, Gupta S, Madhavi K. Routing in wireless sensor networks using machine learning techniques: Challenges and opportunities. *Measurement: Journal of the International Measurement Confederation*, *178,* 2021a. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2021.108974
30. Nayak P, Swetha GK, Gupta S, Madhavi K. Routing in wireless sensor networks using machine learning techniques: Challenges and opportunities. *Measurement: Journal of the International Measurement Confederation*, *178,* 2021b. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2021.108974
31. Nguyen NT, Le TTT, Nguyen HH, Voznak M. Energy-efficient clustering multi-hop routing protocol in a UWSN. *Sensors (Switzerland).* 2021; 21(2):1-16. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21020627

32. Osamy W, Khedr AM, Salim A, El-Sawy AA, Alreshoodi M, Alsukayti I. Recent Advances and Future Prospects of Using AI Solutions for Security, Fault Tolerance, and QoS Challenges in WSNs. *Electronics (Switzerland),* 2022, 11(24). https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics11244122
33. Parizi MK, Keynia F, Khatibi Bardsiri A, Morteza, Parizi K. Woodpecker Mating Algorithm (WMA): a nature-inspired algorithm for solving optimization problems. *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl*. 2020; 11(1):2008-6822. https://doi.org/10.22075/ijnaa.2020.4245
34. Poornima IGA, Paramasivan B. Anomaly detection in wireless sensor network using machine learning algorithm. *Computer Communications*. 2020; 151:331-337. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comcom.2020.01.005
35. Radi M, Dezfouli B, Bakar KA, Lee M. Multipath routing in wireless sensor networks: Survey and research challenges. In *Sensors*. 2012; 12(1):650-685. https://doi.org/10.3390/s120100650
36. Ryynänen J, Hotti M, Saari V, Jussila J, Malinen A, Sumanen L, Tikka T, Halonen KAI. WCDMA multicarrier receiver for base-station applications. *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*. 2006; 41(7):1542–1550. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSSC.2006.873924
37. Su X, Ullah I, Liu X, Choi D. A review of underwater localization techniques, algorithms, and challenges. In *Journal of Sensors*, 2020. Hindawi Limited. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/6403161
38. Ullah F, Zahid Khan M, Faisal M, Rehman HU, Abbas S, Mubarek FS. An Energy Efficient and Reliable Routing Scheme to enhance the stability period in Wireless Body Area Networks. *Computer Communications*. 2021; 165:20-32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comcom.2020.10.017
39. Umbreen S, Shehzad D, Shafi N, Khan B, Habib U. An Energy-Efficient Mobility-Based Cluster Head Selection for Lifetime Enhancement of Wireless Sensor Networks. *IEEE Access*. 2020; 8:207779–207793. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3038031
40. Wei X, Guo H, Wang X, Wang X, Qiu M. Reliable Data Collection Techniques in Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks: A Survey. In *IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials*. 2022; 24(1):404-431. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc. https://doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2021.3134955
41. Yahya A, Islam SU, Zahid M, Ahmed G, Raza M, Pervaiz H, Yang F. Cooperative routing for energy efficient underwater wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Access*. 2019; 7:141888–141899. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2941422
42. Yang H, Cha S, Lee S, Lee S, Lim J, Choi J (n.d.). *A 1. 2V Wide-Band SC Filters for Wireless Communication Receivers,* 2019.
43. Yu W, Chen Y, Wan L, Zhang X, Zhu P, Xu X. An Energy Optimization Clustering Scheme for Multi-Hop Underwater Acoustic Cooperative Sensor Networks. *IEEE Access*. 2020; 8:89171-89184. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2993544.