



# International Journal of Research in Academic World



Received: 10/November/2025

IJRAW: 2026; 5(SP1):143-147

Accepted: 12/December/2025

## Digital Dependency and the Human Mind: Cognitive and Psychological Transformation

\*<sup>1</sup>Dr. N Sasikumar and <sup>2</sup>J Alangaram

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Education, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>2</sup>Research Scholar, Department of Education, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamil Nadu, India.

### Abstract

The rapid proliferation of digital technologies has profoundly altered cognitive processes and psychological well-being across age groups. Continuous exposure to smartphones, social media, and digital platforms has been associated with a spectrum of cognitive transformations, ranging from attention fragmentation and reduced memory retention to emotional dysregulation and increased susceptibility to mental health disorders. Recent research indicates that smartphone dependency correlates with structural and functional neural changes, particularly in areas linked to reward processing and executive functioning. Adolescents and young adults appear especially vulnerable, as excessive screen time is linked to increased depression, anxiety, sleep disruption, and lowered cognitive performance. Emerging sociolinguistic trends, such as "brain rot," highlight how digital immersion is reshaping cognitive habits and cultural expressions. Restricting smartphone use has shown measurable improvements in neural activity, mood, and cognitive engagement. This paper synthesizes interdisciplinary evidence to explore how digital dependency is reshaping human cognition and psychology, offering implications for education, mental health interventions, and digital well-being strategies.

**Keywords:** Digital Dependency, Psychological Transformation, Smartphone Use, Mental Health, Social Media and Neural Plasticity.

### 1. Introduction

In the past two decades, the human cognitive landscape has been profoundly reshaped by the rapid proliferation of digital technologies. Smartphones, social media platforms, and constant online connectivity have become integral to daily life, influencing not only how individuals communicate and access information but also how they think, behave, and experience emotions (Hodson, 2018; Firth *et al.*, 2019). This phenomenon, often referred to as digital dependency, reflects a growing reliance on digital devices and platforms for cognitive processing, emotional regulation, and social interaction. While these technologies have brought unprecedented convenience and opportunities for learning and connection, emerging evidence suggests that continuous digital exposure is associated with significant psychological and cognitive transformations, some of which may have detrimental effects (Wacks & Weinstein, 2021; De-Sola Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2016) [18, 6].

Research has identified excessive smartphone and social media use as contributing factors to mental health challenges, including anxiety, depression, loneliness, and disrupted sleep patterns, particularly among adolescents and young adults (Boers *et al.*, 2019; Lee *et al.*, 2019; Hancock *et al.*, 2025) [4, 13]. Adolescence represents a critical period for brain development, during which heightened neural plasticity

makes individuals especially sensitive to environmental influences, including digital stimuli (Hensch & Bilimoria, 2012; Haidt, 2024) [9]. Neuroimaging studies have demonstrated structural alterations in the lateral orbitofrontal cortex among individuals exhibiting problematic smartphone use, suggesting changes in regions involved in impulse control, reward processing, and decision-making (Lee *et al.*, 2019) [13]. Experimental evidence further supports these findings; smartphone restriction has been shown to modulate cue-related neural activity and improve mood and cognitive engagement, indicating the brain's capacity for functional recovery when digital exposure is reduced (Schmitgen *et al.*, 2025; University of York, 2024) [16, 17].

The cognitive effects of continuous digital engagement are equally striking. The ubiquitous presence of screens has been linked to attention fragmentation, information overload, and reduced working memory capacity (Eppler & Mengis, 2004; Arnold *et al.*, 2023). Smartphones, in particular, have become constant sources of distraction, leading to habitual self-interruptions and diminished productivity (Dabbish *et al.*, 2011; Duke & Montag, 2017) [32, 7]. Over time, this perpetual attentional shifting may alter cognitive control mechanisms, creating dependency loops similar to addictive behaviors (De-Sola Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2016) [6]. Furthermore, sociolinguistic and cultural shifts are emerging in response to these cognitive

changes. The Oxford English Dictionary's selection of "brain rot" as the 2024 Word of the Year reflects growing societal recognition of the cognitive dulling and behavioral passivity associated with excessive digital consumption (Rahimi, 2024) [15].

Psychologically, digital dependency has been associated with heightened emotional volatility and compromised well-being. Longitudinal studies have found links between increased Facebook use and reduced life satisfaction (Shakya & Christakis, 2017), while meta-analyses highlight significant associations between problematic social media use and depressive symptoms (Huang, 2022; Ivie *et al.*, 2020) [10, 12]. Cultural and generational trends, such as those described in Haidt's (2024) [9]. *The Anxious Generation*, suggest that the "great rewiring" of childhood through digital immersion has contributed to rising rates of adolescent mental health disorders in many countries. Surveys reveal that a substantial proportion of teenagers themselves perceive their smartphone use as excessive, signaling internal awareness of dependency patterns (Zandt, 2024; Anderson *et al.*, 2024) [1].

These cognitive and psychological transformations have broad implications for education, socialization, and mental health. Understanding how digital dependency shapes attention, memory, emotional regulation, and neural development is essential for designing effective interventions and policies. As evidence accumulates from neuroscience, psychology, education, and cultural studies, there is an urgent need to integrate these findings into holistic frameworks for digital well-being. This paper examines the cognitive and psychological transformations driven by digital dependency, drawing on interdisciplinary research to highlight patterns, mechanisms, and potential pathways for intervention.

## 2. Digital Dependency: Historical Perspective and Evolution of Digital Use

Digital technologies have evolved rapidly over the past few decades, fundamentally altering how humans access information, communicate, and engage with the world. The inception of computational devices, from early mechanical computers to modern smartphones, laid the groundwork for an increasingly digital society (Halacy, 1970; Good fellow *et al.*, 2016). Charles Babbage's conceptualization of the analytical engine in the 19th century marked the beginning of systematic information processing, which, over time, has evolved into highly interactive, networked digital systems (Halacy, 1970). The late 20th and early 21st centuries witnessed a surge in personal computing, internet proliferation, and the emergence of smartphones, which catalyzed the transition from episodic to continuous digital engagement (Hodson, 2018; Firth *et al.*, 2019).

Smartphones, in particular, have become central to modern life, providing constant access to information, social media, and entertainment, thereby creating conditions conducive to habitual and sometimes compulsive use (Wacks & Weinstein, 2021; De-Sola Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2016) [18, 6]. The concept of digital dependency emerged as researchers began observing behavioral and cognitive patterns reminiscent of addiction, including compulsive checking, multitasking, and diminished attention spans (Duke & Montag, 2017; Dabbish *et al.*, 2011) [7, 33]. The evolution of digital use is further shaped by social media platforms, which leverage algorithms designed to maximize user engagement, intensifying exposure and reinforcing dependency cycles (Huang, 2022; Lee *et al.*, 2019) [10, 13].

This historical trajectory illustrates how digital tools, initially

created to enhance efficiency and learning, have gradually become pervasive in daily life, reshaping cognitive processes and social behaviors. As continuous exposure has become normative, the boundary between beneficial and detrimental use has blurred, emphasizing the need to understand both the origins and the contemporary manifestations of digital dependency (Hodson, 2018; University of York, 2024) [17]. Understanding this evolution is critical for developing interventions aimed at mitigating adverse cognitive and psychological outcomes while promoting responsible digital engagement.

## 3. Cognitive Impact of Continuous Digital Exposure

Continuous engagement with digital technologies has significantly influenced human cognitive processes. Prolonged use of smartphones, social media, and other digital platforms is associated with attentional fragmentation, decreased working memory, and impaired executive functioning (Eppler & Mengis, 2004; Arnold *et al.*, 2023). Digital devices provide constant streams of information, creating conditions of information overload that challenge the brain's capacity to process, retain, and prioritize stimuli effectively (Eppler & Mengis, 2004; Day *et al.*, 2012). Habitual multitasking, often encouraged by digital platforms, further disrupts cognitive control mechanisms, leading to reduced task efficiency and lower cognitive flexibility (Dabbish *et al.*, 2011; Duke & Montag, 2017) [32, 7].

Neuroscientific studies indicate structural and functional changes in the brain associated with high-frequency smartphone use. For example, alterations in the lateral orbitofrontal cortex have been observed in individuals exhibiting problematic digital habits, affecting reward processing, impulse control, and decision-making capacities (Lee *et al.*, 2019) [13]. Additionally, continuous digital exposure can modify attentional networks, contributing to heightened distractibility and diminished sustained focus (Firth *et al.*, 2019). The pervasive use of social media also shapes cognitive patterns by promoting rapid information scanning rather than deep processing, which may impact critical thinking and problem-solving skills (Hodson, 2018; Huang, 2022) [10].

Evidence from intervention studies demonstrates that limiting digital exposure can partially reverse these cognitive effects. Smartphone restriction protocols have been linked to improved neural activity related to attention, enhanced task performance, and better mood regulation (Schmitgen *et al.*, 2025; University of York, 2024) [16, 17]. These findings underscore the brain's plasticity and its ability to adapt positively to reduced digital engagement.

Continuous digital exposure reshapes cognition by affecting attention, memory, executive functioning, and information processing. Understanding these effects is essential for designing educational strategies, workplace policies, and mental health interventions aimed at promoting balanced and responsible digital use (Wacks & Weinstein, 2021; De-Sola Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2016) [18, 6].

## 4. Psychological and Emotional Consequences

Continuous digital exposure has profound effects on psychological well-being and emotional regulation. Excessive use of smartphones and social media is associated with increased levels of anxiety, depression, and stress, particularly among adolescents and young adults (Boers *et al.*, 2019; Wacks & Weinstein, 2021) [4, 18]. Longitudinal studies have found that frequent engagement with digital platforms, such

as Facebook and Instagram, can reduce life satisfaction and foster feelings of loneliness (Shakya & Christakis, 2017; Ivie *et al.*, 2020) [12]. Social comparison, cyberbullying, and exposure to curated online content exacerbate these emotional challenges, undermining resilience and self-esteem (Viner *et al.*, 2019; Lee *et al.*, 2022).

The cultural recognition of terms such as “brain rot” highlights a societal awareness of the cognitive dulling and emotional passivity linked to digital overuse (Rahimi, 2024) [15]. Excessive screen time also disrupts sleep patterns, which are closely tied to emotional stability and stress regulation (University of York, 2024; Thompson, 2025) [17]. Evidence suggests that limiting digital engagement, through structured screen-time restrictions or behavioral interventions, can enhance mood, reduce anxiety, and improve overall mental well-being (Schmitgen *et al.*, 2025; Hunt *et al.*, 2018) [16, 11]. Understanding the psychological and emotional consequences of continuous digital exposure is critical for developing effective strategies for digital well-being, mental health support, and responsible technology use in both educational and social contexts (Huang, 2022; Goldberg, 2025) [10, 8].

## 5. Neuroscientific Evidence of Digital Exposure

Emerging neuroscientific research highlights the structural and functional impact of continuous digital exposure on the human brain. Studies indicate that excessive smartphone use is associated with alterations in the lateral orbitofrontal cortex, a region crucial for impulse control, reward processing, and decision-making (Lee *et al.*, 2019) [13]. Continuous engagement with digital devices can modify attentional networks and neural circuits involved in executive functioning, contributing to increased distractibility and reduced sustained focus (Firth *et al.*, 2019; Wacks & Weinstein, 2021) [18]. Experimental interventions, such as smartphone restriction, demonstrate that reduced exposure can lead to measurable improvements in neural activity, cognitive engagement, and mood regulation, reflecting the brain's plasticity (Schmitgen *et al.*, 2025; University of York, 2024) [16, 17]. Additionally, social media and screen overuse influence reward pathways, creating dependency loops that resemble addictive behaviors, further underscoring the neural underpinnings of digital dependency (De-Sola Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2016) [6].

## 6. Digital Dependency in Adolescents and Young Adults

Adolescents and young adults are particularly susceptible to digital dependency due to developmental, cognitive, and social factors. This age group experiences heightened neural plasticity, making them more sensitive to environmental stimuli, including digital media (Hensch & Biliomaria, 2012; Haidt, 2024) [9]. Frequent smartphone and social media use has been linked to elevated rates of anxiety, depression, sleep disturbances, and decreased cognitive performance among young individuals (Boers *et al.*, 2019; Thompson, 2025; Wacks & Weinstein, 2021) [4, 18]. Surveys indicate that a substantial proportion of teenagers recognize their overuse of digital devices, with four in ten U.S. teens reporting concerns about excessive screen time (Zandt, 2024; Anderson *et al.*, 2024) [1].

The constant availability of online content, coupled with social comparison and peer influence, exacerbates emotional and behavioral vulnerabilities, reinforcing compulsive use patterns (Shakya & Christakis, 2017; Lee *et al.*, 2022) [13]. Neuroimaging studies reveal structural and functional brain alterations associated with problematic use, particularly in

regions governing impulse control and reward processing (Lee *et al.*, 2019; Schmitgen *et al.*, 2025) [13, 16]. Limiting screen time and implementing digital well-being interventions have demonstrated improvements in mood, attention, and cognitive engagement, highlighting the importance of targeted strategies for this population (University of York, 2024; Hunt *et al.*, 2018) [17, 11].

## 7. Interventions and Mitigation Strategies

Addressing digital dependency requires a multifaceted approach that combines behavioral, educational, and institutional interventions. Limiting screen time has been shown to improve cognitive functioning, mood, and emotional regulation among adolescents and young adults (Schmitgen *et al.*, 2025; University of York, 2024) [16, 17]. Structured digital detox programs, smartphone restriction protocols, and scheduled breaks from social media reduce compulsive checking behaviors and restore attention control (Duke & Montag, 2017; Hunt *et al.*, 2018) [7, 11].

Behavioral strategies, such as mindfulness, cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), and habit formation techniques, are effective in moderating digital use and mitigating psychological risks (De-Sola Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2016; Orhan, 2025) [6]. Educational initiatives aimed at promoting digital literacy and awareness of screen time consequences empower young users to self-regulate and make informed decisions about technology use (Hodson, 2018; Magness, 2025) [14].

Institutional policies in schools and workplaces, including smartphone bans or designated tech-free zones, have demonstrated measurable improvements in attention, sleep quality, and overall well-being (University of York, 2024) [17], Channel 4, 2024) [5]. Combining individual-level strategies with organizational and societal interventions provides a holistic framework to counteract the cognitive and psychological impacts of digital dependency, fostering healthier, balanced engagement with technology (Wacks & Weinstein, 2021; Orhan, 2025) [18].

## 8. Discussion

The evidence presented highlights that continuous digital exposure profoundly reshapes both cognitive and psychological functioning. Adolescents and young adults, due to heightened neural plasticity, are particularly vulnerable to attentional fragmentation, memory disruption, and emotional dysregulation (Hensch & Biliomaria, 2012; Haidt, 2024) [9]. Structural and functional brain changes, such as alterations in the orbitofrontal cortex, underscore the neural underpinnings of digital dependency (Lee *et al.*, 2019; Schmitgen *et al.*, 2025) [13, 16]. Psychological consequences, including anxiety, depression, and reduced life satisfaction, are reinforced by social media dynamics and constant online engagement (Boers *et al.*, 2019; Shakya & Christakis, 2017) [4].

Intervention studies indicate that reducing screen time, implementing digital detox strategies, and promoting digital literacy can partially reverse cognitive deficits and improve emotional well-being (Schmitgen *et al.*, 2025; University of York, 2024; Hunt *et al.*, 2018) [16, 17, 11]. These findings highlight the importance of integrating behavioral, educational, and institutional measures to foster responsible technology use, ensuring that the benefits of digital engagement are realized without compromising cognitive and psychological health (Wacks & Weinstein, 2021) [18].

## 9. Conclusion

Digital dependency has emerged as a defining feature of

contemporary life, profoundly influencing cognition, emotion, and behavior. Continuous exposure to smartphones, social media, and other digital platforms reshapes attention, memory, executive functioning, and neural architecture, with adolescents and young adults being particularly vulnerable due to their heightened neural plasticity (Hensch & Biliomaria, 2012; Lee *et al.*, 2019; Haidt, 2024) [13, 9]. These cognitive changes are paralleled by psychological consequences, including increased anxiety, depression, social isolation, and emotional dysregulation, which are exacerbated by social comparison and online peer interactions (Boers *et al.*, 2019; Shakya & Christakis, 2017; Ivie *et al.*, 2020) [4, 12]. Neuroscientific evidence underscores the brain's adaptability, showing that limiting digital exposure through interventions such as smartphone restriction, structured digital detox programs, and educational initiatives can restore cognitive functioning and improve emotional well-being (Schmitgen *et al.*, 2025; University of York, 2024; Hunt *et al.*, 2018) [16, 17, 11]. Promoting digital literacy, mindful technology use, and institutional policies to moderate screen time are essential strategies to balance the benefits of digital engagement with mental health considerations (Wacks & Weinstein, 2021; Orhan, 2025) [18]. Understanding the cognitive and psychological transformations induced by digital dependency is critical for developing effective interventions, guiding responsible technology use, and fostering sustainable mental health in an increasingly digital society.

### Acknowledgement

This work is supported by the Alagappa University Research Fund (AURF) Seed Money 2024 [grant sanctioned vide Letter No. AU/SO(P&D)/AURF Seed Money/2024 Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamil Nadu, India, Date 11<sup>th</sup> December 2024]

### References

- Anderson M, Faverio M, Park E. How teens and parents approach screen time. Pew Research Center. 2024 Mar 11. Available from: <https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2024/03/11/how-teens-and-parents-approach-screen-time/>
- Askari MS, Olfson M, Belsky DW, Breslau J, Keyes KM. The influence of the Great Recession on adolescent major depressive episodes and treatment in the United States: An interrupted time series analysis. *The Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2024;74(1):51–59. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2023.08.023>
- Biever C. Easy intelligence test that AI chatbots fail. *Nature*. 2023;619:686–689. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-023-02361-7>
- Boers E, Afzali MH, Newton N, Conrod P. Association of screen time and depression in adolescence. *JAMA Pediatrics*. 2019;173(9):853–859. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.1759>
- Channel 4. Swiped: The school that banned smartphones. Hosted by Matt and Emma Willis. 2024. Available from: <https://www.channel4.com/programmes/swiped-the-school-that-banned-smartphones>
- De-Sola Gutiérrez J, Rodríguez de Fonseca F, Rubio G. Cell-phone addiction: A review. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*. 2016. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00175>
- Duke E, Montag C. Smartphone addiction, daily interruptions and self-reported productivity. *Addictive Behaviors Reports*. 2017;6:90–95. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abrep.2017.07.002>
- Goldberg Z. Mental-health trends and the “Great Awokening.” Manhattan Institute. 2025. Available from: <https://manhattan.institute/article/mental-health-trends-and-the-great-awakening>
- Haidt J. *The anxious generation: How the great rewiring of childhood is causing an epidemic of mental illness*. Penguin Press; 2024.
- Huang C. A meta-analysis of problematic social media use and mental health. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*. 2022;68(1):12–33.
- Hunt M, Marx R, Lipson C, Young J. No more FOMO: Limiting social media decreases loneliness and depression. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*. 2018;37(10):751–768. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1521/JSCP.2018.37.10.751>
- Ivie EJ, Pettitt A, Moses M, Allen NB. A meta-analysis of the association between adolescent social media use and depressive symptoms. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2020;275:165–174.
- Lee D, Namkoong K, Lee J, Lee BO, Jung YC. Lateral orbitofrontal gray matter abnormalities in subjects with problematic smartphone use. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*. 2019;8:404–411. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.8.2019.50>
- Magness S. Save your brain: A digital survival guide. The Growth Equation. 2025 Apr 2. Available from: <https://thegrowtheq.com/save-your-brain-a-digital-survival-guide/>
- Rahimi R. Oxford's word of the year is a modern condition familiar to most of us. CNN. 2024 Dec 2. Available from: <https://www.cnn.com/2024/12/02/world/brain-rot-oxford-word-of-the-year-2024-intl-scli-gbr/index.html>
- Schmitgen MM, Henemann GM, Koenig J, Otte M-L, Rosero JP, Bach P, *et al.* Effects of smartphone restriction on cue-related neural activity. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2025;167:108610. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2025.108610>
- University of York. School smartphone ban results in better sleep and improved mood. 2024. Available from: <https://www.york.ac.uk/news-and-events/news/2024/research/school-smartphone-ban-better-sleep/>
- Wacks Y, Weinstein AM. Excessive smartphone use is associated with health problems in adolescents and young adults. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*. 2021;12. Available from: <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychiatry/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.669042>
- Wikipedia. Youth mental health crisis. 2025 Apr. Available from: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Youth\\_mental\\_health\\_crisis](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Youth_mental_health_crisis)
- Arnold M, Goldschmitt M, Rigotti T. Dealing with information overload: A comprehensive review. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2023. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1122200>
- Athira A, Kumar SM, Chacko AM. A systematic survey on explainable AI applied to fake news detection. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*. 2023. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2023.106087>
- Barsalou L. Grounded cognition. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 2008;59:617–645. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.59.103006.093639>
- Berthet V, de Gardelle V. The heuristics-and-biases

inventory: An open-source tool to explore individual differences in rationality. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2023. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1145246>

24. Bohil C, Alicea B, Biocca F. Virtual reality in neuroscience research and therapy. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2011;12:752–762. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3122>

25. Boucsein W. *Virtual reality and augmented reality in human performance*. CRC Press; 2019.

26. Butlin P, Long R, Elmoznino E, et al. Consciousness in artificial intelligence: Insights from the science of consciousness. *arXiv*. 2023. Available from: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.08708v3>

27. Byl N, Merzenich M, Jenkins W. A primate genesis model of focal dystonia and repetitive strain injury: I. Learning-induced dedifferentiation of the representation of the hand in the primary somatosensory cortex in adult monkeys. *Neurology*. 1996;47:508–520.

28. Cabeza R, Albert M, Belleville S, et al. Maintenance, reserve and compensation: The cognitive neuroscience of healthy ageing. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2018;19(11):701–710. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-018-0068-2>

29. Carlaw K, Oxley L, Walker P, et al. Beyond the hype: Intellectual property and the knowledge society/knowledge economy. *Journal of Economic Surveys*. 2006;20:633–690. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6419.2006.00262.x>

30. Clark A, Chalmers D. The extended mind. *Analysis*. 1998;58(1):7–19.

31. de Cosmo L. Google engineer claims AI chatbot is sentient: Why that matters. *Scientific American*. 2022 Jul 12. Available from: <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/google-engineer-claims-ai-chatbot-is-sentient-why-that-matters/>

32. Dabbish L, Mark G, Gonzalez V. Why do I keep interrupting myself? Environment, habit and self-interruption. In: Proceedings of the Annual Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. Association for Computing Machinery; 2011. p. 3127–3130. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1145/1978942.1979405>

33. Day A, Paquet S, Scott N, et al. Perceived information and communication technology (ICT) demands on employee outcomes: The moderating effect of organizational ICT support. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*. 2012;17:473–491. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029837>

34. De Mol L. Turing machines. In: Zalta EN, editor. *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Fall 2021 Edition). Stanford University; 2021. Available from: <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2021/entries/turing-machine/>